arXiv:1201.2606v1 [math.FA] 12 Jan 2012

On construction of multivariate symmetric MRA-based wavelets[1]

A. Krivoshein

St.Petersburg State University
e-mail: KrivosheinAV@gmail.com

Abstract

For an arbitrary matrix dilation, any integer n and any integer/semi-integer c, we describe all masks that are symmetric with respect to the point c and have sum rule of order n. For each such mask, we give explicit formulas for wavelet functions that are point symmetric/antisymmetric and generate frame-like wavelet system providing approximation order n. For any matrix dilations (which are appropriate for axial symmetry group on $\mathbb{R}^2$ in some natural sense) and given integer n, axial symmetric/antisymmetric frame-like wavelet systems providing approximation order n are constructed. Also, for several matrix dilations the explicit construction of highly symmetric frame-like wavelet systems providing approximation order n is presented.

Keywords: MRA-based wavelet systems, frame-type expansion, approximation order, symmetry

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 42C40

1 Introduction

In recent years, methods for the construction of wavelet systems with different types of symmetry are actively developed (see [1], [3], [4], [6], [9], [10], [15], [16], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [26], [27] and the references therein). In this paper, we study the construction of symmetric frame-like wavelet systems. Such systems were introduced in [24]. They are obtained by the general scheme for the construction of MRA-based dual wavelet frames that was developed by A. Ron and Z. Shen [28]. The realization of this scheme leads to a dual wavelet systems but not necessary to dual frames. To obtain frames we have to satisfy additional condition, namely all wavelet functions should have vanishing moments. However, perfect reconstruction property, frame-type decomposition and desired approximation properties for MRA-based dual wavelet systems can be achieved without frame requirements [24] (also see [17]). This simplification of the construction scheme allows us to provide symmetry in general case. Let us, firstly, introduce some basic notations and definitions.

1.1 Notations

$\mathbb{N}$ is the set of positive integers, $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers, $\mathbb{C}$ is the set of complex numbers. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $\lfloor x \rfloor$ the largest integer not greater than x. $\mathbb{R}^d$ denotes the d-dimensional Euclidean space, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d)$ are its elements (vectors), $(x)_j = x_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$, $(x, y) = x_1y_1 + \dots + x_dy_d$, $|x| = \sqrt{(x,x)}$, $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we write $x > y$ if $x_j > y_j$, $j = 1, \dots, d$; $x \ge y$ if $x_j \ge y_j$, $j = 1, \dots, d$. $\mathbb{Z}^d$ is the integer lattice in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbb{Z}^d_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : x \ge \mathbf{0}\}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ vector$\lfloor x \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $(\lfloor x \rfloor)_j = \lfloor x_j \rfloor$, $j = 1, \dots, d$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$ we denote by $o(\alpha)$ the set of odd coordinates of α, $\Pi_\alpha(\xi) := \prod_{j=1}^{d} (1 - e^{2\pi i \xi_j})^{\alpha_j}$. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$,

---

[1] This research was supported by Grant 09-01-00162 of RFBR.

$a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we set $[\alpha] = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j$, $\alpha! = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j!$, $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!}$, $a^b = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{d} a_j^{b_j}$, $D^\alpha f = \frac{\partial^{[\alpha]} f}{\partial^{\alpha_1} x_1 \dots \partial^{\alpha_d} x_d}$, δ_{ab} is the Kronecker delta. For $i = 1, \dots, d$, vectors $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ are the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$, $(e_i)_j = \delta_{ij}$, $j = 1, \dots, d$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $\Delta_n := \{\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, [\alpha] < n\}$, $\Delta_n^e := \{\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_n, [\alpha] \texttt{ is even}\}$.

Let M be a dilation matrix, i.e. an integer $d \times d$ matrix whose eigenvalues are bigger than 1 in module, M^* denote the conjugate matrix to M, I_d denote the $d \times d$ identity matrix, $m = |\det M|$. We say that vectors k, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ are congruent modulo M if $k - n = Ml$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. The integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$ is split into cosets with respect to the congruence. It is known that the number of cosets is equal to m (see, e.g., [25, § 2.2]). Let us choose an arbitrary representative from each coset, call them all digits and denote the set of digits by $D(M) = \{s_0, \dots, s_{m-1}\}$. Each coset we denote by $\Omega_M^i := \{Mk + s_i, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$, $i = 0, \dots, m-1$.

If f is a function defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$, we set

$$f_{jk}(x) := m^{j/2} f(M^j x + k), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$$

and $\{f_{jk}\} := \{f_{jk}(x) : j \in \mathbb{Z},\ k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$. If function $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then its Fourier transform $\widehat{f}$ is defined to be $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i (x,\xi)}\, dx$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. This notion can be naturally extended to $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The Schwartz class of functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is denoted by S. The dual space of S is S', i.e. the space of tempered distributions. The basic notion and facts from distribution theory can be found in, e.g., [32]. If $f \in S$, $g \in S'$, then $\overline{\langle f, g \rangle} := \langle g, f \rangle := g(f)$. If $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then $\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \overline{g}$. If $f \in S'$ then $\widehat{f}$ denotes its Fourier transform defined by $\langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$, $g \in S$. If $f \in S'$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we define f_{jk} by

$$\langle f_{jk}, g \rangle = \langle f, g_{-j, -M^{-j}k} \rangle \quad \forall g \in S.$$

For a function $f \in S'$, its Sobolev smoothness exponent is defined to be

$$\nu_2(f) = \sup \left\{ \nu \in \mathbb{R} \bigcup \{-\infty\} \bigcup \{+\infty\} : \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^\nu d\xi < \infty \right\}.$$

We say that $t(\xi)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is a trigonometric polynomial of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d \bigcap \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]^d$ if t is a function of the following type

$$t(\xi) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h_k e^{2\pi i (k + \sigma, \xi)}, \quad h_k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We say that $t(\xi)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is a trigonometric polynomial of integer degrees (or just trigonometric polynomial) if t is a trigonometric polynomial of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = \mathbf{0}$.

A function/distribution φ is called refinable if there exists a 1-periodic function $m_0 \in L_2([0,1]^d)$ (mask, also refinable mask, low-pass filter) such that

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\xi) = m_0(M^{*-1}\xi) \widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-1}\xi). \tag{1}$$

This condition is called refinement equation. It is well known (see, e.g., [25, § 2.4]) that for any trigonometric polynomial m_0 satisfying $m_0(\mathbf{0}) = 1$ there exists a unique solution (up to a factor) of the refinement equation (1) in S'. The solution is compactly supported and given by its Fourier transform

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\xi) := \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} m_0(M^{*-j}\xi). \tag{2}$$

Throughout the paper we assume that any refinable mask m_0 is a trigonometric polynomial with real or complex coefficients and $m_0(\mathbf{0}) = 1$.

For any trigonometric polynomial t there exists a unique set of trigonometric polynomials τ_k, $k = 0, \dots, m-1$, such that

$$t(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{2\pi i (s_k, \xi)} \tau_k(M^* \xi), \tag{3}$$

where s_k are digits of the matrix M. This is so called polyphase representation of trigonometric polynomial t. For $k = 0, ..., m-1$, τ_k is the polyphase component of t corresponding to the digit s_k. If we change the set of digits, the polyphase components of trigonometric polynomial t will also change. Any polyphase component τ_k can be expressed by

$$\tau_k(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{s \in D(M)} e^{-2\pi i (M^{-1} s_k, \xi + s)} t(M^{*-1}(\xi + s)), \quad k = 0, ..., m-1.$$

If $t(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h_n e^{2\pi i (n, \xi)}, h_n \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\tau_k(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h_{Mp + s_k} e^{2\pi i (p, \xi)}, \quad k = 0, ..., m-1.$$

For trigonometric polynomial of semi-integer degrees $t(\xi) = \sum\limits_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h_k e^{2\pi i (k + \sigma, \xi)}$, $h_k \in \mathbb{C}$, associated with $\sigma \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d \bigcap \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]^d$, we define its coefficient support to be $\mathtt{csupp}(t) = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d : h_k \neq 0\}$ and the number of its non-zero coefficients to be $|\mathtt{csupp}(t)|$.

For $\beta \in \Delta_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\Theta_{\beta,n}$ the class of trigonometric polynomials of integer or semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d \bigcap \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]^d$ and defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\forall t \in \Theta_{\beta,n}$

$$D^\alpha t(\mathbf{0}) = (2\pi i)^\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta_n. \tag{4}$$

Also, we will use the following notations:

$\Theta^A_{\beta,n} := \{t \in \Theta_{\beta,n} : t(\xi) = t(-\xi)\}$ for $\beta \in \Delta^e_n$.

$\Theta^B_{\beta,n} := \{t \in \Theta_{\beta,n} : t(\xi) = (-1)^{[\beta]} \overline{t(\xi)}\}$ for $\beta \in \Delta_n$.

How to construct trigonometric polynomials from the class $\Theta_{\beta,n}$ ($\Theta^A_{\beta,n}$ or $\Theta^B_{\beta,n}$)? It can be done in different ways. For example, we can take

$$G_\beta(\xi) = \prod_{r=1}^{d} g_{\beta_r}(\xi_r), \quad \beta \in \Delta_n, \tag{5}$$

where $g_k(u) \in \Theta_{k,n}$ $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$. It is clear that $G_\beta(\xi) \in \Theta_{\beta,n}$. The coefficients of trigonometric polynomials $g_k(u) = \sum\limits_{l=0}^{n-1} h^{(k)}_l e^{2\pi i l u}$ are easy to find from the linear system of equations with Vandermonde matrix according with conditions (4). For example, if $n = 2:$

$$g_0(u) = 1, \quad g_1(u) = -1 + e^{2\pi i u}.$$

If $n = 3:$

$$g_0(u) = 1, \quad g_1(u) = -\frac{3}{2} + 2e^{2\pi i u} - \frac{1}{2} e^{4\pi i u}, \quad g_2(u) = \frac{1}{2} - e^{2\pi i u} + \frac{1}{2} e^{4\pi i u}.$$

If $n = 4:$

$$g_0(u)=1,\quad g_1(u)=-\frac{11}{6}+3e^{2\pi iu}-\frac{3}{2}e^{4\pi iu}+\frac{1}{3}e^{6\pi iu},$$
$$g_2(u)=1-\frac{5}{2}e^{2\pi iu}+2e^{4\pi iu}-\frac{1}{2}e^{6\pi iu},\quad g_3(u)=-\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{2}e^{2\pi iu}-\frac{1}{2}e^{4\pi iu}+\frac{1}{6}e^{6\pi iu}.$$

Also, recursive formulas for computing $g_k(u)$ are given in [30].

Trigonometric polynomials from the class $\Theta^A_{\beta,n}$, $\beta\in\Delta^e_n$, can be constructed as follows

$$G^A_\beta(\xi)=\frac{1}{2}(G_\beta(\xi)+G_\beta(-\xi)),\quad G_\beta(\xi)\in\Theta_{\beta,n}.$$

It is clear that $G^A_\beta(\xi)\in\Theta^A_{\beta,n}$.

Similarly, trigonometric polynomials from the class $\Theta^B_{\beta,n}$, $\beta\in\Delta_n$, can be constructed as follows

$$G^B_\beta(\xi)=\frac{1}{2}(G_\beta(\xi)+(-1)^{[\beta]}\overline{G_\beta(\xi)}),\quad G_\beta(\xi)\in\Theta_{\beta,n}.$$

It is clear that $G^B_\beta(\xi)\in\Theta^B_{\beta,n}$.

How to construct trigonometric polynomials $G_\beta(\xi)$ of semi-integer degrees associated certain with $\sigma\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d\bigcap\left[0,\frac{1}{2}\right]^d$ such that $G_\beta(\xi)\in\Theta_{\beta,n}$? We simply find $g^s_k(u)$ in the following form $g^s_k(u)=\sum\limits_{l=0}^{n-1}h^{(k)}_l e^{2\pi i(l+\frac{1}{2})u}$, $u\in\mathbb{R}$, such that $g^s_k(u)\in\Theta_{k,n}$ $k=0,\dots,n-1$. Then, as in (5), we set

$$G_\beta(\xi)=\prod_{r\notin o(2\sigma)}g_{\beta_r}(\xi_r)\prod_{r\in o(2\sigma)}g^s_{\beta_r}(\xi_r),\quad \beta\in\Delta_n.$$

Trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with σ from the class $\Theta^A_{\beta,n}$, $\beta\in\Delta^e_n$ and from the class $\Theta^B_{\beta,n}$, $\beta\in\Delta_n$, can be constructed as above.

For $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we say that trigonometric polynomial t has *vanishing moments of order* n if

$$D^\beta t(\xi)\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}}=0,\quad \forall\beta\in\Delta_n.$$

For $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we say that trigonometric polynomial t has *sum rule of order* n with respect to the dilation matrix M if

$$D^\beta t(M^{*-1}\xi)|_{\xi=s}=0,\quad \forall s\in D(M^*)\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\},\quad \forall\beta\in\Delta_n.$$

High order of sum rule for a refinable mask is very important in applications, since it is connected with good approximation properties of the corresponding wavelet system (see, e.g. [20] and the references therein).

For $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we say that trigonometric polynomial t has *linear-phase moments of order* n with phase $c\in\mathbb{R}^d$ if

$$D^\beta t(\mathbf{0})=D^\beta e^{2\pi i(c,\xi)}\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}}=(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}c^\beta,\quad \forall\beta\in\Delta_n.$$

This notion was described in [16] for univariate case and in [20] for more general settings. The importance of linear-phase moments is in the fact that they are useful in the sense of polynomial reproduction and subdivision schemes.

It should be noted that these properties of trigonometric polynomials are invariant with respect to the integer shifts. If trigonometric polynomial t has vanishing moments of order n (sum rule of order n or linear-phase moments of order n with phase $c\in\mathbb{R}^d$) then trigonometric polynomial $t'(\xi):=t(\xi)e^{2\pi i(\gamma,\xi)}$, $\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^d$, also has vanishing moments of order n (sum rule of order n or linear-phase moments of order n but with phase $c+\gamma$).

If $\psi^{(\nu)} \in S'$, $\nu = 1, \ldots, r$, then $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ is called a wavelet system. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we say that compactly supported wavelet system $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ has *vanishing moments of order* n (or has VM^n *property*) if

$$D^\beta \widehat{\psi^{(\nu)}}(\mathbf{0}) = 0, \quad \nu = 1, \ldots, r, \quad \forall \beta \in \Delta_n.$$

A family of functions $\{f_n\}_{n \in \aleph}$ ($\aleph$ is a countable index set) in a Hilbert space H is called a frame in H if there exist $A, B > 0$ so that, for all $f \in H$,

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \aleph} |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2.$$

An important property of a frame $\{f_n\}_n$ in H is the following: every $f \in H$ can be decomposed as $f = \sum_n \langle f, \widetilde{f_n} \rangle f_n$, where $\{\widetilde{f_n}\}_n$ is a dual frame in H. Comprehensive characterizations of frames can be found in [2]. Wavelet frames are of great interest for many applications, especially in signal processing.

1.2 Symmetry property of mask and refinable function

A finite set of $d \times d$ integer matrices $H \subset \{E : |\det E| = 1\}$ is a symmetry group on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ if H forms a group under matrix multiplication.

Let H be a symmetry group on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. A function f is said to be H*-symmetric* with respect to a center $C \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if

$$f(E(x - C) + C) = f(x), \quad \forall E \subset H, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Hence, a trigonometric polynomial $t(\xi) = \sum\limits_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h_n e^{2\pi i (n, \xi)}$, $h_n \in \mathbb{C}$ is H*-symmetric* with respect to a center $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if

$$t(\xi) = e^{2\pi i (c - Ec, \xi)} t(E^* \xi), \quad \forall E \subset H \tag{6}$$

and $c - Ec \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \forall E \subset H$. Condition (6) is equivalent to

$$h_n = h_{E(n-c)+c}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad \forall E \subset H.$$

It is known (see, e.g. [11]) that H-symmetry of a mask $m_0(\xi)$ not always carries over to its refinable function φ, defined by (2). Due to this fact a stronger notion of symmetry was introduced in [11].

A finite set of $d \times d$ integer matrices H_M is said to be *symmetry group with respect to the dilation matrix* M if H_M is a symmetry group on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ such that

$$MEM^{-1} \in H_M, \quad \forall E \subset H_M.$$

The following statement was shown by B. Han.

Lemma 1 *[14, Proposition 2.1] Let H_M be a symmetry group with respect to the dilation matrix M. Mask m_0 is H_M-symmetric with respect to a center $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if and only if the corresponding refinable function φ given by (2) is H_M-symmetric with respect to a center $C \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $C = (M - I_d)^{-1}c$.*

Let H be a symmetry group on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and trigonometric polynomial $t(\xi)$ be H-symmetric with a center $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$. When $H = \{I_d, -I_d\}$, condition (6) is equivalent to

$$t(\xi) = e^{2\pi i (2c, \xi)} t(-\xi) \quad \texttt{or} \quad h_n = h_{2c-n}, \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{7}$$

Such trigonometric polynomial $t(\xi)$ is called *symmetric with respect to the point* c*.* Moreover, in this case H is a symmetry group with respect to any dilation matrix and Lemma 1 for H is always valid.

If mask $m_0(\xi)$ is symmetric with respect to the point c then

$$D^{e_j} m_0(\mathbf{0}) = D^{e_j}\left(e^{2\pi i(2c,\xi)} m_0(-\xi)\right)\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}} = 2\pi i 2(c)_j - D^{e_j} m_0(\mathbf{0}).$$

Therefore, $D^{e_j} m_0(\mathbf{0}) = 2\pi i c^{e_j}$, $j = 1,\dots,d$, i.e. the phase for linear-phase moments must match with the symmetry point c. The maximal order of linear-phase moments for symmetric mask with respect to the point c must be an even integer (see [20, Proposition 2]).

Let H be a symmetry group on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and trigonometric polynomial $t(\xi)$ be H-symmetric with a center $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^2$. When

$$H = \left\{\pm I_2, \pm\begin{pmatrix}-1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\right\},$$

$t(\xi)$ is called *axial symmetric with respect to the center c.* When

$$H = \left\{\pm I_2, \pm\begin{pmatrix}-1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}, \pm\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}, \pm\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\right\},$$

$t(\xi)$ is *called 4-fold symmetric with respect to the center c.*

In fact, for trigonometric polynomial $t(\xi)$ with complex Fourier coefficients, we can consider another type of point symmetry

$$t(\xi) = e^{2\pi i(2c,\xi)}\overline{t(\xi)} \quad \texttt{or} \quad h_k = \overline{h_{2c-k}},\ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^d,\ c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{8}$$

A mask with point symmetry in the sense (8) is often called a linear-phase filter in engineering. Linear phase filter has constant group delay, all frequency components have equal delay times. That is, there is no distortion due to the time delay of frequencies relative to one another. In many applications this is useful. If mask m_0 is a linear-phase filter then for the corresponding refinable function φ defined by (2) equality $\varphi(2C - x) = \overline{\varphi(x)}$ holds, where $C = (M - I_d)^{-1}c$ (see, e.g., [16, Lemma 2]).

If trigonometric polynomial $t(\xi)$ has real Fourier coefficients, then two symmetries in the sense (7) and in the sense (8) are the same.

A symmetry is one the most desirable property for wavelet systems. A lot of different approaches providing symmetry have been developed since the history of wavelets began. In [5], I. Daubechies showed that for dyadic dilation $M = 2$ the Haar function $\chi_{[0,1]}$, up to an integer shift, is the only orthogonal refinable function with symmetry and compact support. Thus, to provide symmetry and compact support for orthogonal wavelet bases, we have to consider dilations $M > 2$ or multivariate case. For dilation factor $M = 3$ C. Chui and J. A. Lian [4] considered the construction of symmetric and antisymmetric orthonormal wavelets. B. Han [10] constructed symmetric orthonormal scaling functions and wavelets with dilation factor $M = 4$. The method for construction of orthogonal symmetric refinable functions for arbitrary dilation factors $M > 2$ and any order of sum rule is suggested by E. Belogay and Y. Wang [1]. For any given orthogonal symmetric refinable function algorithm for deriving orthogonal wavelet bases was found by A. Petukhov [26] for arbitrary dilation factors $M > 2$. The case of orthogonal symmetric refinable masks with complex coefficients, linear-phase moments, order of sum rule and step-by-step algorithm for construction high pass filters was investigated by B. Han [15], [16], [20].

For a given symmetric refinable function, C. Chui and W. He [3] proved that there exists symmetric tight wavelet frame with 3 generators for dyadic dilation $M = 2$. In some cases the number of generators can be reduced to 2 [27]. For dilation factor $M = 2$ symmetric tight wavelet frames with 3 generators and high vanishing moments was constructed by B. Han, Q. Mo [18]. For an arbitrary dilation factor $M \geq 2$ systematic algorithm for constructing (anti)symmetric tight wavelet frames generated by a given refinable function was presented in [26].

Starting from any two symmetric compactly supported refinable functions in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ with dilation factor $M \geq 2$, I. Daubechies and B. Han [6] showed that it is always possible to construct $2M$

wavelet functions with compact support such that they generate a pair of (anti)symmetric dual wavelet frames in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$.

In multivariate case, S. S. Goh, Z. Y. Lim, Z. Shen [9] suggested a general and simple method for the construction of point symmetric tight wavelet frames from a given tight wavelet frame and the number of generators for the symmetric tight wavelet frames is at most twice the number of generators for the given tight wavelet frame. The order of vanishing moments is preserved. S. Karakazyan, M. Skopina, M. Tchobanou in [23] described all real interpolatory masks which are symmetric with respect to the origin and generate symmetric/antisymmetric compactly supported biorthonormal bases or dual wavelet systems, generally speaking, with vanishing moments up to arbitrary order n for matrix dilations whose determinant is odd or equal ± 2.

The construction of highly symmetric wavelet systems is the least studied theme in the literature. One of method was suggested by Q. Jiang in [21], [22] for the construction of dual wavelet frames for multiresolution surface processing with 4-fold symmetry for dyadic and $\sqrt{2}$-refinement and 6-fold symmetry for dyadic and $\sqrt{3}$-refinement.

1.3 Paper outline

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce mixed extension principle and the notion of MRA-based frame-like wavelet system. Section 3 is devoted to the construction of point symmetric refinable masks and point symmetric/antisymmetric frame-like wavelet system with desired approximation properties. In Section 4 we construct axial symmetric frame-like wavelet system with desired approximation properties. In Section 5 some results about the construction of highly symmetric frame-like wavelet system are given. In Section 6 several examples are presented.

2 Preliminary results

A general scheme for the construction of MRA-based wavelet frames was developed in [28], [29] (Mixed Extension Principle). To construct a pair of dual wavelet frames in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ one starts with two refinable functions $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$, $\widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{0}) = 1$, $\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\mathbf{0}) = 1$, (or its masks $m_0, \widetilde{m}_0$, respectively), then finds wavelet masks $m_\nu, \widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$, $r \geq m-1$, which are also trigonometric polynomials such that matrices

$$\mathcal{M} := \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{00} & \cdots & \mu_{0,m-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu_{r,0} & \cdots & \mu_{r,m-1} \end{pmatrix}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} := \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\mu}_{00} & \cdots & \widetilde{\mu}_{0,m-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{\mu}_{r,0} & \cdots & \widetilde{\mu}_{r,m-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfy

$$\mathcal{M}^T \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} = I_m, \tag{9}$$

where $\mu_{\nu k}$, $\widetilde{\mu}_{\nu k}$ $k = 0, \dots, m-1$, are the polyphase components of trigonometric polynomials m_ν, $\widetilde{m}_\nu$ for all $\nu = 0, \dots, r$, $r \geq m-1$.

Wavelet functions $\psi^{(\nu)}$, $\widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)}$, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$, $r \geq m-1$, are defined by their Fourier transform

$$\widehat{\psi^{(\nu)}}(\xi) = m_\nu(M^{*-1}\xi)\widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-1}\xi), \quad \widehat{\widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)}}(\xi) = \widetilde{m}_\nu(M^{*-1}\xi)\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(M^{*-1}\xi). \tag{10}$$

If wavelet functions $\psi^{(\nu)}, \widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)}$, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$, $r \geq m-1$, are constructed as above, then the set of functions $\{\psi^{(\nu)}_{jk}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)}_{jk}\}$ is said to be (MRA-based) dual wavelet systems generated by refinable functions $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ (or their masks $m_0, \widetilde{m}_0$).

For wavelet functions $\widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)}$, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$, $r \geq m-1$, defined by (10) VM^n property for the corresponding wavelet system is equivalent to the fact that wavelet masks $\widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$ have vanishing moments up to order n.

For a compactly supported dual wavelet systems $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\nu = 1,\dots,r$, $r \geq m-1$, generated by refinable functions $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ necessary (see [31, Theorem 1]) and sufficient (see [12, Theorems 2.2, 2.3]) condition to be a pair of dual wavelet frames in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the following: each wavelet function $\psi^{(\nu)}, \widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)}$ should have vanishing moment at least of order 1. Construction of univariate dual wavelet frames with vanishing moments was developed, in [6]. For multidimensional case explicit method for construction of compactly supported dual wavelet frames with vanishing moments was suggested in [31], but it is not easy to provide various types of symmetry for different matrix dilations.

If we reject frame requirements and aim to provide VM^n property only for wavelet functions $\widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)}$, $\nu = 1,\dots,r$, then the method could be symplified. Necessary conditions with constructive proof are given in

Lemma 2 *[24, Lemma 14] Let $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ be compactly supported refinable distributions, μ_{0k}, $\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}$, $k = 0,\dots,m-1$, be the polyphase components of their masks $m_0, \widetilde{m}_0$ respectively, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If*

$$D^\beta \mu_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d,\, \alpha \leq \beta} \lambda_\alpha \binom{\beta}{\alpha} (-M^{-1}s_k)^{\beta-\alpha} \quad \forall \beta \in \Delta_n,\ \forall k = 0,\dots,m-1 \tag{11}$$

for some numbers $\lambda_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \in \Delta_n$, $\lambda_{\mathbf{0}} = 1$, and

$$D^\beta \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mu_{0k}(\xi)\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\xi)}\right)\Bigg|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}} = 0 \quad \forall \beta \in \Delta_n, \tag{12}$$

then there exist (MRA-based) dual wavelet systems $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\nu = 1,\dots,m$, such that $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ has VM^n property.

Later, in Theorem 19 the extension technique and explicit formulas for the wavelet masks will be given. It is important to say that frames cannot be constructed using this method (see Remark 20 for more details).

Note that (see, e.g., [31]) the set of numbers λ_α is unique, does not depend on n and

$$\lambda_\alpha = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{[\alpha]}} D^\alpha m_0(M^{*-1}\xi)\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}}, \quad \alpha \in \Delta_n. \tag{13}$$

Conditions (11), (12) do not depend on our choice of the set of digits.

Remark 3 *Due to Theorem [7, Theorem 10] and the identity*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mu_{0k}(M^*\xi)\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}}(M^*\xi) = \sum_{s \in D(M^*)} m_0(\xi + M^{*-1}s)\overline{\widetilde{m}_0(\xi + M^{*-1}s)},$$

conditions (11), (12) in Lemma 2 may be replaced by

(i) $m_0(\xi)$ has sum rule of order n,

$$(ii) \quad D^\beta \left(1 - m_0(\xi)\overline{\widetilde{m}_0(\xi)}\right)\Big|_{\xi=0} = 0 \ \ \forall \beta \in \Delta_n. \tag{14}$$

Thus, the method for the construction of MRA-based frame-like wavelet systems is quite simple. We start with any mask m_0 that has sum rule of order n. Next, we find dual refinable mask $\widetilde{m}_0$ satisfying (14) and wavelet masks $m_\nu, \widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu = 1,\dots,m$ using Lemma 2. The refinable functions

$\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ are defined by (2); wavelet functions $\psi^{(\nu)}, \widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)}$ are defined by (10). Thereby, we get the pair of MRA-based dual wavelet systems $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ which may consist of tempered distributions, generally speaking. Nevertheless, we can consider frame-type decomposition with respect to these systems for appropriate functions f (e.g., $f \in S$ if $\widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)} \in S'$; $f \in L_p$ if $\widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)} \in L_q$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$).

Let $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ be dual wavelet systems and A be a class of functions f for which $\langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle$, $\langle f, \widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\rangle$ have meaning. We say that $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ is *frame-like* if

$$f = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\rangle \psi_{jk}^{(\nu)} \quad \forall f \in A, \tag{15}$$

and $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ is *almost frame-like* if

$$f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle \varphi_{0k} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\rangle \psi_{jk}^{(\nu)} \quad \forall f \in A, \tag{16}$$

where the series in (15) and (16) converge in some natural sense.

Here we give some results from [24].

Theorem 4 *[24, Theorem 12] Let $f \in S$, $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi} \in S'$, $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ be compactly supported and refinable, $\widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{0}) = \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\mathbf{0}) = 1$. Then MRA-based dual wavelet systems $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$, generated by $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ are almost frame-like, i.e. (16) holds with the series converging in S'.*

Theorem 5 *[24, Theorem 16] Let $f \in S$, $\varphi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\widetilde{\varphi} \in S'$, $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ be compactly supported and refinable, $\widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{0}) = \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\mathbf{0}) = 1$, and let $\psi^{(\nu)}, \widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)}, \nu = 1, \dots, r$, be defined by (10). Then (16) holds with the series converging in L_2-norm. If, moreover, $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ are as in Lemma 2, then*

$$\left\| f - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{0k}\rangle \varphi_{0k} - \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \widetilde{\psi}_{ik}^{(\nu)}\rangle \psi_{ik}^{(\nu)} \right\|_2 \le \frac{C \|f\|_{W_2^{n^*}}}{(|\lambda| - \varepsilon)^{jn}}, \tag{17}$$

where λ is a minimal (in module) eigenvalue of M, $\varepsilon > 0$, $|\lambda| - \varepsilon > 1$, $n^* \ge n$, C and n^* do not depend on f and j.

In other words, almost frame-like wavelet system $\{\psi_{jk}^{(\nu)}\}$ from Theorem 5 provide approximation order n.

3 Construction of symmetric frame-like wavelets

In this section, we will consider refinable masks $m_0(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h_n e^{2\pi i (n, \xi)}$, $h_n \in \mathbb{C}$ that are symmetric with respect to a point $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$ in two different senses

(a) $m_0(\xi) = e^{2\pi i (2c, \xi)} m_0(-\xi)$, that is $h_k = h_{2c-k}, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, then we say that $m_0(\xi)$ is symmetric with respect to the point $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$ in the sense (a);

(b) $m_0(\xi) = e^{2\pi i (2c, \xi)} \overline{m_0(\xi)}$, that is $h_k = \overline{h_{2c-k}}, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, then we say that $m_0(\xi)$ is symmetric with respect to the point $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$ in the sense (b).

Similarly, $m_0(\xi)$ is antisymmetric with respect to the point $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$ in the sense (a), if $m_0(\xi) = -e^{2\pi i (2c, \xi)} m_0(-\xi)$; $m_0(\xi)$ is antisymmetric with respect to the point $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$ in the sense (b), if $m_0(\xi) = -e^{2\pi i (2c, \xi)} \overline{m_0(\xi)}$.

We will use the polyphase components μ_{0k}, $k = 0, \dots, m-1$, for the construction of mask m_0 that is symmetric with respect to a point. Thus, we need to reformulate symmetry conditions for the mask in terms of its polyphase components.

Lemma 6 *A mask $m_0(\xi)$ is symmetric with respect to a point $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$ in the sense (a) if and only if its polyphase components $\mu_{0k}(\xi)$, $k = 0, \dots, m-1$ satisfy*

$$\mu_{0k}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i (M^{-1}(2c - s_k - s_l), \xi)} \mu_{0l}(-\xi), \tag{18}$$

where l is a unique integer in $\{0, \dots, m-1\}$ such that $M^{-1}(2c - s_k - s_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Proof. Firstly, we assume that $m_0(\xi)$ is symmetric with respect to the point $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$ in the sense (a), i.e. $h_n = h_{2c-n}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Namely, all coefficients h_n such that indices $n \in \Omega_M^k$ match with the coefficients h_{2c-n} whose indices $2c - n \in \Omega_M^l$ for some $l \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ and

$$e^{2\pi i (s_k, \xi)} \mu_{0k}(M^* \xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h_{Mn+s_k} e^{2\pi i (Mn + s_k, \xi)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h_{2c - Mn - s_k} e^{2\pi i (Mn + s_k, \xi)}$$

$$= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h_{Mp + s_l} e^{2\pi i (2c - Mp - s_l, \xi)} = e^{2\pi i (2c - s_l, \xi)} \mu_{0l}(-M^* \xi),$$

where $k = 0, \dots, m-1$, and number l is chosen such that $2c - Mn - s_k \in \Omega_M^l$, i.e. $2c - Mn - s_k = Mp + s_l, p \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, or $M^{-1}(2c - s_k - s_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Next, suppose we have (18). According with (3) we obtain

$$m_0(-\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{-2\pi i (s_k, \xi)} \mu_{0k}(-M^* \xi) =$$

$$e^{-2\pi i (2c, \xi)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} e^{2\pi i (s_l, \xi)} \mu_{0l}(M^* \xi) = e^{-2\pi i (2c, \xi)} m_0(\xi),$$

i.e. mask m_0 is symmetric with respect to the point c in the sense (a). ◇

This fact for the univariate case and for multiwavelets is hidden in the proof of [19, Lemma 1]. So, we decided to give a direct proof of this Lemma for multivariate case.

The same statement is valid for mask m_0 that is symmetric with respect to the point $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$ in the sense (b) with condition (18) replaced by

$$\mu_{0k}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i (M^{-1}(2c - s_k - s_l), \xi)} \overline{\mu_{0l}(\xi)}. \tag{19}$$

The set of indices $\{0, \dots, m-1\}$ according to the equation (18) or (19) can be divided into sets I and J.

Index $i \in I$, if we can find index $i' \neq i$ so that $M^{-1}(2c - s_i - s_{i'}) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then index $i' \in I$ too and

$$\mu_{0i}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i (M^{-1}(2c - s_i - s_{i'}), \xi)} \mu_{0i'}(-\xi), \quad M^{-1}(2c - s_i - s_{i'}) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$$

or

$$\mu_{0i}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i (M^{-1}(2c - s_i - s_{i'}), \xi)} \overline{\mu_{0i'}(\xi)} \quad M^{-1}(2c - s_i - s_{i'}) \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

The corresponding digits $s_i, s_{i'}$ always can be choose so that

$$M^{-1}(2c - s_i - s_{i'}) = 0. \tag{20}$$

Indeed, if $M^{-1}(2c - s_i - s_{i'}) = n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we simply replace digit $s_{i'}$ by $s_{i'} + Mn$ and get the required equality. Then the polyphase component $\mu_{0i'}$ also change and we get

$$\mu_{0i}(\xi) = \mu_{0i'}(-\xi). \tag{21}$$

or

$$\mu_{0i}(\xi) = \overline{\mu_{0i'}(\xi)}. \tag{22}$$

In what follows in this section, we assume that digits are chosen such that (20) is valid. Also, we will use notations for the separation of the set I into two as follows $I = I^1 \cup I^2, I^1 \cap I^2 = \emptyset$ and for index $i \in I^1$ the corresponding index $i' \in I^2$ and $i' \notin I^1$.

Index $j \in J$, if the corresponding polyphase component μ_{0j} is symmetric with respect to the point $M^{-1}(c - s_j)$, i.e.

$$\mu_{0j}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i (M^{-1}(2c-2s_j),\xi)} \mu_{0j}(-\xi), \quad M^{-1}(2c - 2s_j) \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{23}$$

or

$$\mu_{0j}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i (M^{-1}(2c-2s_j),\xi)} \overline{\mu_{0j}(\xi)}, \quad M^{-1}(2c - 2s_j) \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{24}$$

The cardinality of the set J is no more then 2^d. Indeed, the digits always can be choose so that $M^{-1}s_k \in [0,1)^d, k = 0, \dots, m-1$. The vector $2c$ can be represented as $2c = Mn + s_p$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $s_p \in D(M)$. Therefore, we should have $M^{-1}(Mn + s_p - 2s_j) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ or $2M^{-1}s_j \in \mathbb{Z}^d + M^{-1}s_p$. Since $2M^{-1}s_j \in [0,2)^d$, there is only 2^d possible variants for $2M^{-1}s_j$.

3.1 Construction of symmetric initial mask m_0

Using the above consideration, Lemma 2 and Remark 3 we suggest a simple algorithm for the construction of mask m_0 that is symmetric with respect to the point $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$ in the sense (a) or in the sense (b) and has arbitrary order n of sum rule (or equivalently condition (11) is fulfilled with some numbers $\lambda_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \in \Delta_n$). Also we indicate how to provide linear-phase moments for m_0. Firstly, we need the following simple

Lemma 7 *Let $t'(\xi)$ be a trigonometric polynomial, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t(\xi) := e^{2\pi i(a,\xi)} t'(\xi)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then $D^\beta t'(\xi)\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}} = (2\pi i)^{[\beta]} \kappa'_\beta$, $\forall \beta \in \Delta_n$ if and only if*

$$D^\beta t(\xi)\Bigg|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}} = (2\pi i)^{[\beta]} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+,\, \alpha \le \beta} \kappa'_\alpha \binom{\beta}{\alpha} a^{\beta-\alpha}, \quad \forall \beta \in \Delta_n.$$

Proof. Let $D^\beta t'(\xi)\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}} = (2\pi i)^{[\beta]} \kappa'_\beta$, $\forall \beta \in \Delta_n$. Then the statement is obvious.

Conversely, let us denote $\kappa_\beta := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+,\, \alpha \le \beta} \kappa'_\alpha \binom{\beta}{\alpha} a^{\beta-\alpha}$, $\forall \beta \in \Delta_n$ and $D^\beta t(\xi)\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}} = (2\pi i)^{[\beta]} \kappa_\beta$.

Then

$$D^\beta t'(\xi)\Bigg|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}} = (2\pi i)^{[\beta]} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+,\, \alpha \le \beta} (-a)^{\beta-\alpha} \binom{\beta}{\alpha} \kappa_\alpha =$$

$$(2\pi i)^{[\beta]} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+,\, \alpha \le \beta} (-a)^{\beta-\alpha} \binom{\beta}{\alpha} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+,\, \gamma \le \alpha} \kappa'_\gamma \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} a^{\alpha-\gamma}, \quad \forall \beta \in \Delta_n.$$

After the change of variables in the sums and taking into account that

$$\binom{\alpha}{\gamma}\binom{\beta}{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha!}{\gamma!(\alpha-\gamma)!}\frac{\beta!}{\alpha!(\beta-\alpha)!} =$$

$$\frac{\beta!(\beta-\gamma)!}{\gamma!(\alpha-\gamma)!(\beta-\gamma-(\alpha-\gamma))!(\beta-\gamma)!}=\binom{\beta}{\gamma}\binom{\beta-\gamma}{\alpha-\gamma}$$

we have

$$D^\beta t'(\xi)\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}}=(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,\,\gamma\le\beta}\kappa'_\gamma\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d\\ \gamma\le\alpha\le\beta}}(-a)^{\beta-\alpha}\binom{\beta}{\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\gamma}a^{\alpha-\gamma}=$$

$$(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,\,\gamma\le\beta}\kappa'_\gamma\binom{\beta}{\gamma}\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d\\ \gamma\le\alpha\le\beta}}(-a)^{\beta-\alpha}\binom{\beta-\gamma}{\alpha-\gamma}a^{\alpha-\gamma}=$$

$$(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,\,\gamma\le\beta}\kappa'_\gamma\binom{\beta}{\gamma}(a-a)^{\beta-\gamma}=(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}\kappa'_\beta,$$

where $\beta\in\Delta_n$. $\diamond$

The construction of mask $m_0(\xi)$ will be carried out using its polyphase components $\mu_{0k}(\xi)$, $k=0,\dots,m-1$. So, we reformulate condition (11) for mask m_0 that is symmetric in the sense (a) or in the sense (b).

Lemma 8 *Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$, m_0 be a mask satisfying condition (11) with some numbers $\lambda_\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$, $\alpha\in\Delta_n$. If m_0 is symmetric with respect to the point $c\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$ in the sense (a) then*

1. *numbers $\lambda_\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$ are given by*

$$\lambda_\alpha=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,\,\gamma\le\alpha}\lambda'_\gamma\binom{\alpha}{\gamma}(M^{-1}c)^{\alpha-\gamma},\quad\forall\alpha\in\Delta_n\tag{25}$$

   where $\lambda'_\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$, $\alpha\in\Delta_n$, $\lambda_{\mathbf{0}}=1$, $\lambda'_\alpha=0$, if $[\alpha]$ is odd;

2. *condition (11) is equivalent to*

$$D^\beta\mu_{0k}(\mathbf{0})=\frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}}\sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d\\ \gamma\le\beta}}\lambda'_\gamma\binom{\beta}{\gamma}(M^{-1}c-M^{-1}s_k)^{\beta-\gamma},\quad\forall\beta\in\Delta_n,\,\forall k=0,\dots,m-1.\tag{26}$$

If m_0 is symmetric with respect to the point $c\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$ in the sense (b) then

1. *numbers $\lambda_\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$ are given by (25) where $\lambda'_\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$, $\alpha\in\Delta_n$, $\lambda_{\mathbf{0}}=1$, $Re\lambda'_\alpha=0$, if $[\alpha]$ is odd, $Im\lambda'_\alpha=0$, if $[\alpha]$ is even;*
2. *condition (11) is equivalent to (26).*

Proof. Let $m'_0(\xi)=e^{-2\pi i(c,\xi)}m_0(\xi)$. Therefore, $m'_0(\xi)$ is an even trigonometric polynomial of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma=c-\lfloor c\rfloor$. Define numbers λ'_α, $\alpha\in\Delta_n$, by

$$\lambda'_\alpha:=\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{[\alpha]}}D^\alpha m'_0(M^{*-1}\xi)\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}}.$$

It is clear that $\lambda'_{\mathbf{0}}=1$, $\lambda'_\alpha=0$, if $[\alpha]$ is odd. For mask $m_0(\xi)=e^{2\pi i(c,\xi)}m'_0(\xi)$ due to Lemma 7 and (13)

$$\lambda_\alpha=\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{[\alpha]}}D^\alpha m_0(M^{*-1}\xi)\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}}=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,\,\gamma\le\alpha}\lambda'_\gamma\binom{\alpha}{\gamma}(M^{-1}c)^{\alpha-\gamma},\quad\forall\alpha\in\Delta_n.$$

After combining (25) with (11) and using the same reasoning as in Lemma's 7 proof we establish the equivalence of conditions (26) and (11).

For point symmetry in the sense (b), the proof is similar. $\diamond$

Theorem 9 *Let M be an arbitrary matrix dilation, $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda'_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \in \Delta_n$, $\lambda'_\alpha = 0$, if $[\alpha]$ is odd. Then there exists mask m_0 which is symmetric with respect to the point c in the sense (a) and satisfies condition (11) with numbers λ_α defined by (25). The mask m_0 can be represented by*

$$m_0(\xi) = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{j\in J}\sum_{\beta\in\Delta_n}\lambda'_\beta G^A_{\beta j}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(c,\xi)} +$$

$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i\in I^1}\sum_{\beta\in\Delta_n}\sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+\\ \gamma\le\beta}}\lambda'_\gamma\binom{\beta}{\gamma}(M^{-1}(c-s_i))^{\beta-\gamma}\left(G_{\beta i}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_i,\xi)} + G_{\beta i}(-M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(2c-s_i,\xi)}\right), \quad (27)$$

where $G_{\beta i}(\xi) \in \Theta_{\beta,n}$, $\beta \in \Delta_n$, $i \in I^1$, are trigonometric polynomials; $G^A_{\beta j}(\xi) \in \Theta^A_{\beta,n}$, $\beta \in \Delta^e_n$, $j \in J$, are trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = M^{-1}(c-s_j) - \lfloor M^{-1}(c-s_j)\rfloor$.

Proof. Let us construct the polyphase components $\mu_{0k}(\xi)$, $k = 0,\dots,m-1$ such that they satisfy conditions (18) and (26). Therefore, we will get the required mask m_0.

For the polyphase components μ_{0i}, $\mu_{0i'}$, $i \in I^1$, $2c - s_i - s_{i'} = 0$, we set

$$\mu_{0i}(\xi) = \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_n}\left[\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}D^\beta\mu_{0k}(\mathbf{0})\right]G_{\beta i}(\xi) =$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\sum_{\beta\in\Delta_n}\left[\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+,\,\gamma\le\beta}\lambda'_\gamma\binom{\beta}{\gamma}(M^{-1}c - M^{-1}s_i)^{\beta-\gamma}\right]G_{\beta i}(\xi) \quad (28)$$

and

$$\mu_{0i'}(\xi) = \mu_{0i}(-\xi), \quad (29)$$

where $G_{\beta i}(\xi) \in \Theta_{\beta,n}$, $\beta \in \Delta_n$, are trigonometric polynomials. The condition (26) for μ_{0i} is obviously valid. Since $c - s_i = -(c - s_{i'})$, and $\lambda'_\alpha = 0$, when $[\alpha]$ is odd, we have

$$D^\beta\mu_{0i'}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}}\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+,\,\alpha\le\beta\\ [\alpha]\text{ is even}}}\lambda'_\alpha\binom{\beta}{\alpha}(M^{-1}c - M^{-1}s_{i'})^{\beta-\alpha} =$$

$$(-1)^{[\beta]}\frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}}\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+,\,\alpha\le\beta\\ [\alpha]\text{ is even}}}\lambda'_\alpha\binom{\beta}{\alpha}(M^{-1}c - M^{-1}s_i)^{\beta-\alpha} = (-1)^{[\beta]}D^\beta\mu_{0i}(\mathbf{0}).$$

Therefore (26) for $\mu_{0i'}$ is also satisfied.

For the polyphase components μ_{0j}, $j \in J$, we have to satisfy conditions (23) and (26). Let us construct an even trigonometric polynomial μ'_{0j} of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = M^{-1}(c-s_j) - \lfloor M^{-1}(c-s_j)\rfloor$ such that $D^\beta\mu'_{0j}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}}\lambda'_\beta$, $\forall\beta \in \Delta_n$. To provide it we set

$$\mu'_{0j}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\sum_{\beta\in\Delta_n}\lambda'_\beta G^A_{\beta j}(\xi),$$

where $G^A_{\beta j} \in \Theta^A_{\beta,n}$, $\beta \in \Delta^e_n$, are trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = M^{-1}(c-s_j) - \lfloor M^{-1}(c-s_j) \rfloor$.

Therefore,

$$\mu_{0j}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i (M^{-1}c - M^{-1}s_j, \xi)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_n} \lambda'_\beta G^A_{\beta j}(\xi). \tag{30}$$

Condition (26) is satisfied due to Lemma 7. Condition (23) is obviously valid.

Hence, we construct the polyphase components μ_{0k}, $k = 0, \dots, m-1$ that satisfy symmetry conditions (18) in Lemma 6 and condition (26) with λ'_α. To get the mask m_0 it remains to use formula (3) together with (28), (29), (30) and take into account that $s_{i'} = 2c - s_i$. $\Diamond$

Theorem 10 *Let M be an arbitrary matrix dilation, $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda'_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \in \Delta_n$, $Re\lambda'_\alpha = 0$, if $[\alpha]$ is odd, $Im\lambda'_\alpha = 0$, if $[\alpha]$ is even. Then there exists mask m_0 which is symmetric with respect to the point c in the sense (b) and satisfies condition (11) with numbers λ_α defined by (25). The mask m_0 can be represented by*

$$\begin{aligned} m_0(\xi) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_n} \lambda'_\beta G^B_{\beta j}(M^*\xi) e^{2\pi i (c,\xi)} + \\ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i \in I^1} \Bigg[\sum_{\beta \in \Delta_n} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+,\, \gamma \le \beta} \lambda'_\gamma \binom{\beta}{\gamma} (M^{-1}c - M^{-1}s_i)^{\beta-\gamma} \right) G_{\beta i}(M^*\xi) e^{2\pi i (s_i,\xi)} + \\ \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_n} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+,\, \gamma \le \beta} \overline{\lambda'_\gamma} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} (M^{-1}c - M^{-1}s_i)^{\beta-\gamma} \right) \overline{G_{\beta i}(M^*\xi)} e^{2\pi i (2c - s_i,\xi)} \Bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where $G_{\beta i}(\xi) \in \Theta_{\beta,n}$, $\beta \in \Delta_n$, $i \in I^1$, are trigonometric polynomials; $G^B_{\beta j}(\xi) \in \Theta^B_{\beta,n}$, $\beta \in \Delta_n$, $j \in J$, are trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = M^{-1}(c-s_j) - \lfloor M^{-1}(c-s_j) \rfloor$.

Proof. The construction of mask $m_0(\xi)$ is very similar to Theorem's 9 proof. Let us construct the polyphase components $\mu_{0k}(\xi)$, $k = 0, \dots, m-1$ such that they satisfy conditions (19) and (26). Therefore, we will get the required mask m_0.

For the polyphase components μ_{0i}, $\mu_{0i'}$, $i \in I^1$, $2c - s_i - s_{i'} = 0$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{0i}(\xi) = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_n} \left[\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}} D^\beta \mu_{0i}(\mathbf{0}) \right] G_{\beta i}(\xi) = \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_n} \left[\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+,\, \gamma \le \beta} \lambda'_\gamma \binom{\beta}{\gamma} (M^{-1}c - M^{-1}s_k)^{\beta-\gamma} \right] G_{\beta i}(\xi) \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

and

$$\mu_{0i'}(\xi) = \overline{\mu_{0i}(\xi)}, \tag{33}$$

where $G_{\beta i}(\xi) \in \Theta_{\beta,n}$, $\beta \in \Delta_n$, are trigonometric polynomials. The condition (26) for μ_{0i} is obviously valid. Since $c - s_i = -(c - s_{i'})$, we have

$$D^\beta \mu_{0i'}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+,\, \alpha \le \beta} \lambda'_\alpha \binom{\beta}{\alpha} (M^{-1}c - M^{-1}s_{i'})^{\beta-\alpha} =$$

$$\frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+,\ \alpha\le\beta \\ [\alpha]\ \mathtt{is\ even}}} Re\lambda'_\alpha \binom{\beta}{\alpha} (M^{-1}c - M^{-1}s_{i'})^{\beta-\alpha} +$$

$$\frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+,\ \alpha\le\beta \\ [\alpha]\ \mathtt{is\ odd}}} iIm\lambda'_\alpha \binom{\beta}{\alpha} (M^{-1}c - M^{-1}s_{i'})^{\beta-\alpha} =$$

$$(-1)^{[\beta]}\frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+ \alpha\le\beta} \overline{\lambda'_\alpha} \binom{\beta}{\alpha} (M^{-1}c - M^{-1}s_i)^{\beta-\alpha} = (-1)^{[\beta]}\overline{D^\beta \mu_{0i}(\mathbf{0})}.$$

Therefore (26) for $\mu_{0i'}$ is also satisfied.

For the polyphase components μ_{0j}, $j \in J$ we have to satisfy condition (24) and (26). Let us construct a real trigonometric polynomial μ'_{0j} of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = M^{-1}(c - s_j) - \lfloor M^{-1}(c-s_j)\rfloor$ such that $D^\beta \mu'_{0j}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\lambda'_\beta}$, $\forall \beta \in \Delta_n$. To provide it we set

$$\mu'_{0j} = \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_n} \lambda'_\beta G^B_{\beta j}(\xi),$$

where $G^B_{\beta j} \in \Theta^B_{\beta,n}$, $\beta \in \Delta_n$, are trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = M^{-1}(c - s_j) - \lfloor M^{-1}(c - s_j)\rfloor$. Therefore,

$$\mu_{0j}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i (M^{-1}c - M^{-1}s_j,\xi)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_n} \lambda'_\beta G^B_{\beta j}(\xi). \tag{34}$$

Condition (26) is satisfied due to Lemma 7. Condition (24) is obviously valid.

Hence, we construct the polyphase components μ_{0k}, $k = 0, \dots, m-1$ that satisfy symmetry conditions (19) and condition (26) with λ'_α. To get the mask m_0 it remains to use formula (3) together with (32), (33), (34) and take into account that $s_{i'} = 2c - s_i$. ◇

Remark 11 *To provide linear-phase moments of order n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for the mask m_0 we simply have to take $\lambda'_\beta = \delta_{\beta\mathbf{0}}$, $\beta \in \Delta_n$ in Theorem 9. Therefore, due to Lemma 8*

$$D^\beta m_0(M^{*-1}\xi)\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}} = (2\pi i)^{[\beta]}(M^{-1}c)^\beta \quad \forall \beta \in \Delta_n,$$

that means that m_0 has linear-phase moments of order n.

According to (27), mask m_0 that is symmetric with respect to the point $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$, has sum rule of order n and linear-phase moments of order n, looks as follows

$$m_0(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j\in J} G^A_{\mathbf{0}j}(M^*\xi) e^{2\pi i (c,\xi)} +$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i\in I^1} \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_n} (M^{-1}c - M^{-1}s_i)^\beta \left(G_{\beta i}(M^*\xi) e^{2\pi i (s_i,\xi)} + G_{\beta i}(-M^*\xi) e^{2\pi i (2c - s_i,\xi)} \right),$$

where $G_{\beta i}(\xi) \in \Theta_{\beta,n}$, $\beta \in \Delta_n$, $i \in I^1$ are trigonometric polynomials; $G^A_{\mathbf{0}j} \in \Theta^A_{\mathbf{0},n}$, $j \in J$, are trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = M^{-1}(c - s_j) - \lfloor M^{-1}(c - s_j)\rfloor$.

Remark 12 *The construction of mask* m_0 *with real coefficients which is symmetric with respect to the point* c *and satisfies condition (11) can be done as in Theorem 9 by (27) but* $\lambda'_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $G_{\beta i}(\xi)$, $G^A_{\beta j}(\xi)$ *for* $\beta \in \Delta_n$, $j \in J$, $i \in I^1$ *are the same trigonometric polynomial as in Theorem 9 but with real coefficients.*

Remark 13 *Let* m_0 *be a mask which is symmetric with respect to the point* c *and satisfies condition (11). Such masks are constructed in Theorem 9 or Theorem 10. How to get mask* m_0 *that has the minimal number of its non-zero coefficients among the other masks with the same properties? It is enough to choose functions* $G_\beta(\xi) \in \Theta_{\beta,n}$, $\beta \in \Delta_n$, $G^A_\beta(\xi) \in \Theta^A_{\beta,n}$, $\beta \in \Delta^e_n$, *or* $G^B_\beta(\xi) \in \Theta^B_{\beta,n}$, $\beta \in \Delta_n$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *so that they have the minimal possible number of their non-zero coefficients. This numbers are equal to the quantities of the imposed requirements on the coefficients of these functions. For instance, functions* $G_\beta(\xi) \in \Theta_{\beta,n}$ *have to satisfy* $D^\alpha G_\beta(\mathbf{0}) = (2\pi i)^{[\beta]}\delta_{\alpha\beta}$, $\forall \alpha \in \Delta_n$. *We will seek these functions in the following form* $G_\beta(\xi) = \sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} h^{(\beta)}_k e^{2\pi i(k+\sigma,\xi)}$, *where* $\sigma \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d \bigcap \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]^d$, *such that* $\left| \mathtt{csupp}\left(\sum\limits_{\beta\in\Delta_n} G_\beta\right)\right|$ *is equal to the cardinality of the set* Δ_n. *According to the requirements* $D^\alpha G_\beta(\mathbf{0}) = (2\pi i)^{[\beta]}\delta_{\alpha\beta}$, $\alpha \in \Delta_n$, *we get the linear system of equations, and if coefficients support is chosen in appropriate way, then the matrix of the linear system is invertible. For example, the matrix is always invertible if* $\mathtt{csupp}\left(\sum\limits_{\beta\in\Delta_n} G_\beta\right) = \Delta_n$ *(for more information see, e.g. [8]). The functions* $G^B_\beta(\xi)$ *with the minimal number of their non-zero coefficients can be chosen as*

$$G^B_\beta(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(G_\beta(\xi) + (-1)^{[\beta]}\overline{G_\beta(\xi)}), \quad \forall \beta \in \Delta_n.$$

To get functions $G^A_\beta(\xi)$, $\beta \in \Delta^e_n$ *with the minimal number of their non-zero coefficients, we fistly look for* $G_\beta(\xi)$ *such that* $D^\alpha G_\beta(\mathbf{0}) = (2\pi i)^{[\beta]}\delta_{\alpha\beta}$, $\forall \alpha \in \Delta^e_n$. *These functions can be found as above from the linear system of equations such that* $\left| \mathtt{csupp}\left(\sum\limits_{\beta\in\Delta^e_n} G_\beta\right)\right|$ *is equal to the cardinality of the set* Δ^e_n. *Then, as previously stated, we set*

$$G^A_\beta(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(G_\beta(\xi) + G_\beta(-\xi)), \quad \forall \beta \in \Delta^e_n.$$

3.2 Class of symmetric initial masks

To describe the whole class of masks which are symmetric with respect to a point in the sense (a) or in the sense (b) and has arbitrary order of sum rule, we need some auxiliary statements.

Lemma 14 *Let* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d \bigcap \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]^d$. *A general form for all even (odd) trigonometric polynomials* $T(\xi)$ *of semi-integer degrees associated with* σ *such that* $D^\beta T(\mathbf{0}) = 0, \forall \beta \in \Delta_n$, *is given by*

$$T(\xi) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+\\ [\alpha]=n}} \left(A_\alpha(\xi) Re\Pi_\alpha(\xi) + iB_\alpha(\xi) Im\Pi_\alpha(\xi)\right), \tag{35}$$

where $A_\alpha(\xi)$, $B_\alpha(\xi)$ *are arbitrary respectively even (odd) and odd (even) trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with* σ.

Proof. Let $T(\xi)$ defined by (35). For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+, [\alpha] = n$ it follows from

$$D^\beta \Pi_\alpha(\mathbf{0}) = D^\beta \left(\prod_{j=1}^d \left(1 - e^{2\pi i \xi_j}\right)^{\alpha_j}\right)\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}} = 0,$$

that

$$D^\beta (Re\Pi_\alpha(\xi))\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}} = 0, \quad D^\beta (Im\Pi_\alpha(\xi))\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}} = 0 \quad \forall\beta \in \Delta_n.$$

Then due to Leibniz formula, we obtain that $D^\beta T(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ for all $\beta \in \Delta_n$. Trigonometric polynomials $\Pi_\alpha(\xi)$ have real Fourier coefficients, then (see, e.g. [23, Lemma 4]) $Re\Pi_\alpha(\xi)$ is an even function and $Im\Pi_\alpha(\xi)$ is an odd function. Therefore, it is clear that $T(\xi)$ is an even (odd) trigonometric polynomial of semi-integer degrees associated with σ.

Now let $T(\xi)$ be an even trigonometric polynomial of semi-integer degrees associated with σ, such that $D^\beta T(\mathbf{0}) = 0, \forall\beta \in \Delta_n$. By Taylor formula, there exist trigonometric polynomials $T_\alpha(\xi)$ of semi-integer degrees associated with σ, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $[\alpha] = n$, so that

$$T(\xi) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d \\ [\alpha]=n}} \Pi_\alpha(\xi) T_\alpha(\xi) =$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d \\ [\alpha]=n}} \left(A_\alpha(\xi) Re\Pi_\alpha(\xi) + B_\alpha(\xi) Re\Pi_\alpha(\xi) + iB_\alpha(\xi) Im\Pi_\alpha(\xi) + iA_\alpha(\xi) Im\Pi_\alpha(\xi)\right),$$

where $A_\alpha(\xi) = \frac{T_\alpha(\xi)+T_\alpha(-\xi)}{2}$, $B_\alpha(\xi) = \frac{T_\alpha(\xi)-T_\alpha(-\xi)}{2}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, [\alpha] = n$. It is clear that $A_\alpha(\xi)$ are even and $B_\alpha(\xi)$ are odd trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with σ. Rewrite the previous equation as

$$T(\xi) - \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d \\ [\alpha]=n}} \left(A_\alpha(\xi) Re\Pi_\alpha(\xi) + iB_\alpha(\xi) Im\Pi_\alpha(\xi)\right) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d \\ [\alpha]=n}} \left(B_\alpha(\xi) Re\Pi_\alpha(\xi) + iA_\alpha(\xi) Im\Pi_\alpha(\xi)\right).$$

The left hand side is an even trigonometric polynomial of semi-integer degrees associated with σ and the right hand side is an odd trigonometric polynomial of semi-integer degrees associated with σ. Hence both the sides are identically equal to zero. Therefore, (35) holds.

For odd $T(\xi)$, the proof is similar. ◇

Lemma 15 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d \bigcap \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]^d$. A general form for all real (purely imaginary) trigonometric polynomials $T(\xi)$ of semi-integer degrees associated with σ such that $D^\beta T(\mathbf{0}) = 0, \forall\beta \in \Delta_n$, is given by*

$$T(\xi) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d \\ [\alpha]=n}} \left(\widetilde{A}_\alpha(\xi) Re\Pi_\alpha(\xi) + \widetilde{B}_\alpha(\xi) Im\Pi_\alpha(\xi)\right), \tag{36}$$

where $\widetilde{A}_\alpha(\xi)$, $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(\xi)$ are arbitrary respectively real (purely imaginary) trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with σ.

Proof. Let $T(\xi)$ defined by (36). As in Lemma's 14 proof, due to Leibniz formula, we have $D^\beta T(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ for all $\beta \in \Delta_n$. Also, it is clear that $T(\xi)$ is a real trigonometric polynomial of semi-integer degrees associated with σ.

Now let $T(\xi)$ be a real trigonometric polynomial of semi-integer degrees associated with σ, such that $D^\beta T(\mathbf{0}) = 0, \forall\beta \in \Delta_n$. By Taylor formula, there exist trigonometric polynomials $T_\alpha(\xi)$ of semi-integer degrees associated with σ, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $[\alpha] = n$, so that

$$T(\xi) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d \\ [\alpha]=n}} \Pi_\alpha(\xi) T_\alpha(\xi) =$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d\\ [\alpha]=n}} \left(ReT_\alpha(\xi)Re\Pi_\alpha(\xi) - ImT_\alpha(\xi)Im\Pi_\alpha(\xi) + iImT_\alpha(\xi)Re\Pi_\alpha(\xi) + iReT_\alpha(\xi)Im\Pi_\alpha(\xi)\right).$$

Since $T(\xi) = \overline{T(\xi)}$, then imaginary part is equal to zero. It remains to set $\widetilde{A}_\alpha(\xi) = ReT_\alpha(\xi)$, $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(\xi) = -ImT_\alpha(\xi)$.

For purely imaginary $T(\xi)$, the proof is similar. $\diamond$

Theorem 16 *Let M be an arbitrary matrix dilation, $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A general form for all masks m_0, which are symmetric with respect to the point c in the sense (a) and have sum rule of order n, is given by*

$$m_0(\xi) = m_0^*(\xi) + e^{2\pi i(c,\xi)} \sum_{j\in J} \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d\\ [\alpha]=n}} \left(A_{\alpha j}(M^*\xi)Re\Pi_\alpha(M^*\xi) + iB_{\alpha j}(M^*\xi)Im\Pi_\alpha(M^*\xi)\right) +$$
$$\sum_{i\in I^1} \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d\\ [\alpha]=n}} \left(T_{\alpha i}(M^*\xi)\Pi_\alpha(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_i,\xi)} + T_{\alpha i}(-M^*\xi)\Pi_\alpha(-M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(2c-s_i,\xi)}\right), \tag{37}$$

where for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $[\alpha] = n$, $j \in J$, $i \in I^1$, $A_{\alpha j}(\xi)$, $B_{\alpha j}(\xi)$ are arbitrary respectively even and odd trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = M^{-1}(c - s_j) - \lfloor M^{-1}(c - s_j)\rfloor$, $T_{\alpha i}(\xi)$ are arbitrary trigonometric polynomials, $m_0^*(\xi)$ is constructed by Theorem 9 for some parameters $\lambda'_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \in \Delta_n$, $\lambda'_\alpha = 0$, if $[\alpha]$ is odd.

Proof. Let m_0 be a mask that is symmetric with respect to the point c in the sense (a) and has sum rule of order n. Define complex numbers λ'_α for all $\alpha \in \Delta_n$ by

$$\lambda'_\alpha := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{[\alpha]}} D^\alpha \left(e^{-2\pi i(c,M^{*-1}\xi)} m_0(M^{*-1}\xi)\right)\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}}.$$

It is clear that $\lambda'_{\mathbf{0}} = 1$, $\lambda'_\alpha = 0$, if $[\alpha]$ is odd and condition (26) holds with λ'_α, $\alpha \in \Delta_n$. Due to Theorem 9 there exists mask m_0^* that is symmetric with respect to the point c in the sense (a) and satisfies condition (11) with numbers λ_α defined by (25) or equivalently satisfying condition (26) with λ'_α.

The polyphase components of $m_0 - m_0^*$ are $\mu_{0k} - \mu_{0k}^*$, $k = 0, \dots, m-1$, where μ_{0k} and μ_{0k}^* are the polyphase components of m_0 and m_0^* respectively. Then, due to the fact that condition (26) holds for m_0 and m_0^* with the same λ'_α, we have $D^\beta(\mu_{0k}(\xi) - \mu_{0k}^*(\xi))\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}} = 0$, $k = 0, \dots, m-1$, for all $\beta \in \Delta_n$.

For $j \in J$, $\mu_{0j}(\xi) - \mu_{0j}^*(\xi)$ is symmetric with respect to the point $M^{-1}(c - s_j)$ in the sense (a), so together with Lemma 14 we obtain

$$\mu_{0j}(\xi) - \mu_{0j}^*(\xi) = e^{2\pi i\left(M^{-1}(c-s_j),\xi\right)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d\\ [\alpha]=n}} \left(A_{\alpha j}(\xi)Re\Pi_\alpha(\xi) + iB_{\alpha j}(\xi)Im\Pi_\alpha(\xi)\right), \tag{38}$$

where for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $[\alpha] = n$, $A_{\alpha j}(\xi)$ and $B_{\alpha j}(\xi)$ are respectively even and odd trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = M^{-1}(c - s_j) - \lfloor M^{-1}(c - s_j)\rfloor$.

For $i \in I$, $\mu_{0i}(\xi) - \mu_{0i}^*(\xi)$ can be represented as

$$\mu_{0i}(\xi) - \mu_{0i}^*(\xi) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d\\ [\alpha]=n}} T_{\alpha i}(\xi)\Pi_\alpha(\xi), \tag{39}$$

where for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$, $[\alpha] = n$, $T_{\alpha i}(\xi)$ are trigonometric polynomials and due to (21) for $i' \in I$, such that $2c - s_i - s_{i'} = 0$, we have

$$\mu_{0i'}(\xi) - \mu^*_{0i'}(\xi) = \mu_{0i}(-\xi) - \mu^*_{0i}(-\xi) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+\\ [\alpha]=n}} T_{\alpha i}(-\xi)\Pi_\alpha(-\xi).$$

It remains to combine $\mu_{0k}(\xi) - \mu^*_{0k}(\xi)$, $k = 0, \dots, m-1$, by (3) and take into account that $s_{i'} = 2c - s_i$.

Next, we assume that trigonometric polynomial m_0 defined by (37). Trigonometric polynomial m^*_0 is symmetric with respect to the point c in the sense (a) and satisfy condition (11) with numbers $\lambda_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. It is clear that the polyphase representatives of $m_0 - m^*_0$ are given by (39) and (38). Due to Lemma 14, $D^\beta(\mu_{0k}(\xi) - \mu^*_{0k}(\xi))\Big|_{\xi=\mathbf{0}} = 0$, $k = 0, \dots, m-1$, for all $\beta \in \Delta_n$. Since

$$D^\beta \mu^*_{0k}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{0}\le\alpha\le\beta} \lambda_\alpha \binom{\beta}{\alpha} (-M^{-1}s_k)^{\beta-\alpha} \quad \forall \beta \in \Delta_n,$$

then (11) is valid for the polyphase components of m_0 with numbers λ_α. Therefore, m_0 has sum rule of order n. It is easy to see that m_0 is symmetric with respect to the point c in the sense (a). ◇

The same theorem is valid for the case of symmetric masks with respect to a point in the sense (b).

Theorem 17 *Let M be an arbitrary matrix dilation, $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A general form for all masks m_0, which are symmetric with respect to the point c in the sense (b) and have sum rule of order n, is given by*

$$m_0(\xi) = m^*_0(\xi) + e^{2\pi i(c,\xi)} \sum_{j\in J} \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+\\ [\alpha]=n}} \left(\widetilde{A}_{\alpha j}(M^*\xi) Re\Pi_\alpha(M^*\xi) + \widetilde{B}_{\alpha j}(M^*\xi) Im\Pi_\alpha(M^*\xi) \right) +$$

$$\sum_{i\in I^1} \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+\\ [\alpha]=n}} \left(T_{\alpha i}(M^*\xi)\Pi_\alpha(M^*\xi) e^{2\pi i(s_i,\xi)} + \overline{T_{\alpha i}(M^*\xi)\Pi_\alpha(M^*\xi)} e^{2\pi i(2c-s_i,\xi)} \right),$$

where for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$, $[\alpha] = n$, $j \in J$, $i \in I^1$, $\widetilde{A}_{\alpha j}(\xi)$, $\widetilde{B}_{\alpha j}(\xi)$ are arbitrary real trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = M^{-1}(c - s_j) - \lfloor M^{-1}(c - s_j) \rfloor$, $T_{\alpha i}(\xi)$ are arbitrary trigonometric polynomials, m^*_0 is constructed by Theorem 10 using formula (31) for some numbers $\lambda'_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \in \Delta_n$, $Re\lambda'_\alpha = 0$, if $[\alpha]$ is odd, $Im\lambda'_\alpha = 0$, if $[\alpha]$ is even.

3.3 Construction of symmetric frame-like wavelets

We know how to get the initial symmetric refinable mask m_0 that has arbitrary order of sum rule. On the way for constructing symmetric/antisymmetric frame-like wavelet system such that wavelet functions $\widetilde{\psi}^{(\nu)}$, $\nu = 1, \dots, m$, have VM^n property we need to find appropriate dual mask $\widetilde{m}_0$. The method is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 18 *Let M be an arbitrary matrix dilation, $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, mask m_0 be symmetric with respect to the point c in the sense (a) or in the sense (b) and has sum rule of order n. Then there exists mask $\widetilde{m}_0$ which is symmetric with respect to the point c in the sense (a) or in the sense (b) and satisfies condition (14).*

Proof. Firstly, we transform condition (14) into

$$D^\beta \left(1 - e^{-2\pi i(c,\xi)} m_0(\xi) \overline{e^{-2\pi i(c,\xi)} \widetilde{m}_0(\xi)} \right) \Big|_{\xi=0} = 0, \quad \forall \beta \in \Delta_n.$$

From this equation we consequentially find $\rho_\beta = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}} D^\beta \left(e^{-2\pi i(c,\xi)}\widetilde{m}_0(\xi)\right)\Big|_{\xi=0}$. For the case of symmetry in the sense (a) $\rho_\beta = 0$, if $[\beta]$ is odd. Then we set

$$e^{-2\pi i(c,\xi)}\widetilde{m}_0(\xi) = \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_n^e} \rho_\beta G_\beta^A(\xi), \tag{40}$$

where trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = c - \lfloor c \rfloor$ $G_\beta^A(\xi) \in \Theta_{\beta,n}^A$ for $\beta \in \Delta_n^e$. For the case of symmetry in the sense (b) we set

$$e^{-2\pi i(c,\xi)}\widetilde{m}_0(\xi) = \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_n} \rho_\beta G_\beta^B(\xi),$$

where trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = c - \lfloor c \rfloor$ $G_\beta^B(\xi) \in \Theta_{\beta,n}^B$ for $\beta \in \Delta_n$.

Thus, $\widetilde{m}_0(\xi)$ is symmetric with respect to the point c in the sense (a) or in the sense (b) and satisfy condition (14). ◇

Theorem 19 *Let M be an arbitrary matrix dilation, $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Masks m_0 and $\widetilde{m}_0$ are symmetric with respect to the point c in the sense (a), mask m_0 has sum rule of order n, mask $\widetilde{m}_0$ satisfies condition (14). Then there exist wavelet masks m_ν and $\widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu = 1, \dots, m$, which are symmetric/antisymmetric with respect to some points in the sense (a) and wavelet masks $\widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu = 1, \dots, m$, have vanishing moments of order n.*

Proof. Matrix extension providing vanishing moments of order n for $\widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu = 1, \dots, m$, realises as follows (see [24, Lemma 14])

$$\mathcal{N} := \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{00} & \mu_{01} & \dots & \mu_{0,m-1} & \mu_{0,m} \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\overline{\widetilde{\mu}}_{00} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -\overline{\widetilde{\mu}}_{01} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\overline{\widetilde{\mu}}_{0,m-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -\overline{\widetilde{\mu}}_{0,m-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{41}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} := \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\mu}_{00} & \widetilde{\mu}_{01} & \dots & \widetilde{\mu}_{0m-1} & 1 \\ 1-\widetilde{\mu}_{00}\overline{\mu}_{00} & -\widetilde{\mu}_{01}\overline{\mu}_{00} & \dots & -\widetilde{\mu}_{0,m-1}\overline{\mu}_{00} & -\overline{\mu}_{00} \\ -\widetilde{\mu}_{00}\overline{\mu}_{01} & 1-\widetilde{\mu}_{01}\overline{\mu}_{01} & \dots & -\widetilde{\mu}_{0,m-1}\overline{\mu}_{01} & -\overline{\mu}_{01} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -\widetilde{\mu}_{00}\overline{\mu}_{0,m-2} & -\widetilde{\mu}_{01}\overline{\mu}_{0,m-2} & \dots & -\widetilde{\mu}_{0,m-1}\overline{\mu}_{0,m-2} & -\overline{\mu}_{0,m-2} \\ -\widetilde{\mu}_{00}\overline{\mu}_{0,m-1} & -\widetilde{\mu}_{01}\overline{\mu}_{0,m-1} & \dots & 1-\widetilde{\mu}_{0,m-1}\overline{\mu}_{0,m-1} & -\overline{\mu}_{0,m-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{42}$$

where $\mu_{0k}(\xi)$, $\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\xi)$, $k = 0, \dots, m-1$ are the polyphase components of masks m_0 and $\widetilde{m}_0$ respectively, $\mu_{0,m} = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mu_{0k}\overline{\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}}$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{N}\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^* = I_{m+1}$ holds. Therefore, the equality (9) is valid.

Let us denote matrices elements by

$$\mathcal{N} = \{\mu_{kl}\}_{k,l=0}^m, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} = \{\widetilde{\mu}_{kl}\}_{k,l=0}^m,$$

the first rows of the matrices by P, $\widetilde{P}$ and the other rows of the matrices by Q_ν, $\widetilde{Q}_\nu$, respectively, $\nu = 0, \dots, m-1$.

Due to the symmetry of refinable masks m_0 and $\widetilde{m}_0$ by Lemma 6 we have

$$\mu_{0i}(\xi) = \mu_{0i'}(-\xi), \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{0i}(\xi) = \widetilde{\mu}_{0i'}(-\xi), \quad 2c - s_i - s_{i'} = 0, \ i, i' \in I,$$

$$\mu_{0j}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i(M^{-1}(2c-2s_j),\xi)}\mu_{0j}(-\xi), \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{0j}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i(M^{-1}(2c-2s_j),\xi)}\widetilde{\mu}_{0j}(-\xi), \quad j \in J.$$

To provide symmetry for wavelet masks we make some transformations and obtain symmetric/antisymmetric wavelet masks from the above rows.

Let $k^* \in J$. The row $\widetilde{Q}_{k^*}$ looks as

$$\widetilde{Q}_{k^*} = (\dots, -\widetilde{\mu}_{0i}\overline{\mu}_{0k^*}, \dots, 1 - \widetilde{\mu}_{0k^*}\overline{\mu}_{0k^*}, \dots, -\widetilde{\mu}_{0j}\overline{\mu}_{0k^*}, \dots),$$

where $i \in I$, $j \in J$, $j \neq k^*$. Now, we show that first m elements of the row $\widetilde{Q}_{k^*}$ (that are the polyphase components of wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_{k^*}$) satisfy condition (18)

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{k^*i}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0i}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0k^*}(\xi)} = -e^{-2\pi i(M^{-1}(2c-2s_{k^*}),\xi)}\widetilde{\mu}_{0i'}(-\xi)\overline{\mu_{0k^*}(-\xi)} =$$
$$= e^{2\pi i(M^{-1}(2s_{k^*}-s_i-s_{i'}),\xi)}\widetilde{\mu}_{k^*i'}(-\xi), \quad \forall i, i' \in I, \quad 2c - s_i - s_{i'} = 0;$$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{k^*j}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0j}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0k^*}(\xi)} = -e^{2\pi i(M^{-1}(2c-2s_j),\xi)}e^{-2\pi i(M^{-1}(2c-2s_{k^*}),\xi)}\widetilde{\mu}_{0j}(-\xi)\overline{\mu_{0k^*}(-\xi)} =$$
$$= e^{2\pi i(M^{-1}(2s_{k^*}-2s_j),\xi)}\widetilde{\mu}_{k^*j}(-\xi), \quad \forall j \in J, \quad j \neq k^*;$$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{k^*k^*}(\xi) = 1 - \widetilde{\mu}_{0k^*}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0k^*}(\xi)} = 1 - \widetilde{\mu}_{0k^*}(-\xi)\overline{\mu_{0k^*}(-\xi)} = \widetilde{\mu}_{k^*k^*}(-\xi).$$

Thus, by Lemma 6 wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_{k^*}$ is symmetric with respect to the point s_{k^*} in the sense (a) for all $k^* \in J$.

If $k^* \in I^1$ we find $k' \in I^2$, such that $2c - s_{k^*} - s_{k'} = 0$. Therefore, $\mu_{0k^*}(\xi) = \mu_{0k'}(-\xi)$. Let us consider the rows

$$\widetilde{Q}_{k^*} = (\dots, -\widetilde{\mu}_{0i}\overline{\mu}_{0k^*}, \dots, 1 - \widetilde{\mu}_{0k^*}\overline{\mu}_{0k^*}, \dots, -\widetilde{\mu}_{0k'}\overline{\mu}_{0k^*}, \dots, -\widetilde{\mu}_{0j}\overline{\mu}_{0k^*}, \dots),$$
$$\widetilde{Q}_{k'} = (\dots, -\widetilde{\mu}_{0i}\overline{\mu}_{0k'}, \dots, -\widetilde{\mu}_{0k^*}\overline{\mu}_{0k'}, \dots, 1 - \widetilde{\mu}_{0k'}\overline{\mu}_{0k'}, \dots, -\widetilde{\mu}_{0j}\overline{\mu}_{0k'}, \dots),$$

where $i \in I$, $j \in J$, $i \neq k^*$, $i \neq k'$, and transform them into

$$\widetilde{Q}'_{k^*} := \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{Q}_{k^*} + \widetilde{Q}_{k'}), \quad \widetilde{Q}'_{k'} := \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{Q}_{k^*} - \widetilde{Q}_{k'}).$$

Define $A(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{\mu_{0k^*}(\xi)} + \overline{\mu_{0k'}(\xi)}\right)$, $B(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{\mu_{0k^*}(\xi)} - \overline{\mu_{0k'}(\xi)}\right)$. It is clear that $A(\xi)$ is even, i.e. $A(-\xi) = A(\xi)$; and $B(\xi)$ is odd, i.e. $B(-\xi) = -B(\xi)$. New rows take the form

$$\widetilde{Q}'_{k^*} = (\dots, -\widetilde{\mu}_{0i}A, \dots, \frac{1}{2} - \widetilde{\mu}_{0k^*}A, \dots, \frac{1}{2} - \widetilde{\mu}_{0k'}A, \dots, -\widetilde{\mu}_{0j}A, \dots),$$
$$\widetilde{Q}'_{k'} = (\dots, -\widetilde{\mu}_{0i}B, \dots, \frac{1}{2} - \widetilde{\mu}_{0k^*}B, \dots, -\frac{1}{2} - \widetilde{\mu}_{0k'}B, \dots, -\widetilde{\mu}_{0j}B, \dots).$$

Redenote elements such that

$$\widetilde{Q}'_{k^*} = \{\widetilde{\mu}_{k^*l}\}_{l=0,\dots,m}, \quad \widetilde{Q}'_{k'} = \{\widetilde{\mu}_{k'l}\}_{l=0,\dots,m}.$$

Now, we show that first m elements of the row $\widetilde{Q}'_{k^*}$ (that are the polyphase components of wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_{k^*}$) satisfy condition (18)

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{k^*i}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0i}(\xi)A(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0i'}(-\xi)\,A(-\xi) = \widetilde{\mu}_{k^*i'}(-\xi), \quad \forall i, i' \in I,\ i \neq k^*, i \neq k';$$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{k^*j}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0j}(\xi)A(\xi) = -e^{2\pi i(M^{-1}(2c-2s_j),\xi)}\widetilde{\mu}_{0j}(-\xi)\,A(-\xi) =$$

$$e^{2\pi i(M^{-1}(2c-2s_j),\xi)}\widetilde{\mu}_{k^*j}(-\xi), \quad \forall j\in J;$$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{k^*k^*}(\xi)=\frac{1}{2}-\widetilde{\mu}_{0k^*}(\xi)A(\xi)=\frac{1}{2}-\widetilde{\mu}_{0k'}(-\xi)\,A(-\xi)=\widetilde{\mu}_{k^*k'}(-\xi).$$

Hence, by Lemma 6 wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_{k^*}$ is symmetric with respect to the point c in the sense (a). The same equations are valid for the elements of the row $\widetilde{Q}'_{k'}$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{k'i}(\xi)=-\widetilde{\mu}_{k'i'}(-\xi), \quad \forall i,i'\in I,\ i\neq k^*, i\neq k';$$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{k'j}(\xi)=-e^{2\pi i(M^{-1}(2c-2s_j),\xi)}\widetilde{\mu}_{k'j}(-\xi), \quad \forall j\in J;$$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{k'k^*}(\xi)=-\widetilde{\mu}_{k'k'}(-\xi).$$

Therefore, wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_{k'}$ is antisymmetric with respect to the point c in the sense (a).

For the rows Q_{k^*}, $Q_{k'}$ we make analogous transformations

$$Q'_{k^*}:=Q_{k^*}+Q_{k'}, \quad Q'_{k'}:=Q_{k^*}-Q_{k'}.$$

New rows

$$Q'_{k^*}=(\dots,1,\dots,1,\dots),$$
$$Q'_{k'}=(\dots,1,\dots,-1,\dots)$$

form wavelet masks m_{k^*} and $m_{k'}$ that are accordingly symmetric and antisymmetric with respect to the point c.

It is clear that the equality $\mathcal{N}\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^*=I_{m+1}$ remains correct with new rows.

◇

Remark 20 *It is important to note that dual wavelet frames cannot be constructed using such extension technique due to the fact that in matrix (42) we take* $\widetilde{\mu}_{0m}\equiv 1$. *Therefore, wavelet masks* m_ν, $\nu=1,\dots,m$ *do not have any order of vanishing moments according with [31, Theorem 8].*

The same theorem is valid for the case of the symmetry in the sense (b)

Theorem 21 *Let* M *be an arbitrary matrix dilation,* $c\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$. *Masks* m_0 *and* $\widetilde{m}_0$ *are symmetric with respect to the point* c *in the sense (b), mask* m_0 *has sum rule of order* n*, mask* $\widetilde{m}_0$ *satisfies condition (14). Then there exist wavelet masks* m_ν *and* $\widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu=1,\dots,m$*, which are symmetric/antisymmetric with respect to some points in the sense (b) and masks* $\widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu=1,\dots,m$*, have vanishing moments of order* n.

4 Bivariate axial symmetric frame-like wavelets.

Let us denote $G^{axis}:=\{\pm I_2,\pm Y\}$, where $Y=\begin{pmatrix}-1&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}$. The set G^{axis} is called axial symmetry group on $\mathbb{Z}^2$. Recall that trigonometric polynomial $t(\xi)$ is axial symmetric with respect to a center $c=(c_1,c_2)\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^2$, if

$$t(\xi)=e^{2\pi i(c-Ec,\xi)}t(E^*\xi), \quad \forall E\in G^{axis}, \quad \xi\in\mathbb{R}^2$$

or

$$h_n=h_{E(n-c)+c}, \quad \forall n\in\mathbb{Z}^2, \quad \forall E\in G^{axis}.$$

Similarly, trigonometric polynomial $t(\xi)$ is axial antisymmetric with respect to the center c, if

$$t(\xi) = \pm e^{2\pi i (c - Ec, \xi)} t(E^* \xi), \quad \forall E \in G^{axis}.$$

To provide axial symmetry for $t(\xi)$ it is enough to satisfy only two equalities

$$t(\xi) = e^{2\pi i (2c, \xi)} t(-\xi), \quad t(\xi) = e^{2\pi i 2c_1 \xi_1} t(Y^* \xi), \quad (c_1, c_2) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^2. \tag{43}$$

For which dilation matrices G^{axis}-symmetry of mask m_0 carries over to its refinable function? The answer is given in

Lemma 22 *(see [14, Proposition 4.1]) Let symmetry group G^{axis} be a symmetry group with respect to the dilation matrix M. Then*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad or \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{44}$$

In this section, we assume that dilation matrices are as in (44), $m = |\gamma_1 \gamma_2|$. Also, we set $d_1 = |\gamma_1|$, $d_2 = |\gamma_2|$.

Firstly, we consider the case when $c \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Due to the fact that orders of sum rule, vanishing moments, linear-phase moments and axial symmetry of trigonometric polynomial are invariant with respect to an integer shift it is enough to consider only the case when $c = (0, 0)$. For dilation matrix M the digits always can be choose in the rectangular set

$$D(M) \subset \left[\frac{-d_1 + 1}{2}, \frac{d_1}{2}\right] \times \left[\frac{-d_2 + 1}{2}, \frac{d_2}{2}\right].$$

Let us define the set of digits $D(M)$ as

$$D(M) = \left\{ (v_1, v_2) \Big| \quad v_l = -\left\lfloor \frac{d_l - 1}{2} \right\rfloor, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_l}{2} \right\rfloor, l = 1, 2 \right\}.$$

Enumerate all digits from 0 to $m - 1$ and divide them into several sets with respect to the parity of integers d_1 and d_2.

I. If d_1, d_2 are odd, then digits can be divided as follows

1. $J_I := \{ j_1 \big| s_{j_1} = (0, 0) \}$;
2. $R := \{ r \big| s_r = (v_1, 0), \quad v_1 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_1 - 1}{2} \rfloor \}$,

   $R' := \{ r' \big| s_{r'} = (-v_1, 0), \quad v_1 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_1 - 1}{2} \rfloor \}$,

   $Q := \{ q \big| s_q = (0, v_2), \quad v_2 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_2 - 1}{2} \rfloor \}$,

   $Q' := \{ q' \big| s_{q'} = (0, -v_2), \quad v_2 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_2 - 1}{2} \rfloor \}$;
3. $K := \{ k \big| s_k = (v_1, v_2), \quad v_1 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_1 - 1}{2} \rfloor, v_2 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_2 - 1}{2} \rfloor \}$,

   $K' := \{ k' \big| s_{k'} = (v_1, -v_2), \quad v_1 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_1 - 1}{2} \rfloor, v_2 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_2 - 1}{2} \rfloor \}$,

   $K'' := \{ k'' \big| s_{k''} = (-v_1, v_2), \quad v_1 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_1 - 1}{2} \rfloor, v_2 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_2 - 1}{2} \rfloor \}$,

   $K''' := \{ k''' \big| s_{k'''} = (-v_1, -v_2), \quad v_1 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_1 - 1}{2} \rfloor, v_2 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_2 - 1}{2} \rfloor \}$;

II. If d_1 is even, d_2 is odd, then digits can be divided as follows

1. $J_{II} := \{j_1, j_2 \Big| s_{j_1} = (0,0), s_{j_2} = (\frac{d_1}{2}, 0)\}$;
2. R, R' and Q, Q' are the same as in I;
3. $T := \{t \Big| s_t = (\frac{d_1}{2}, v_2), v_2 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_2-1}{2} \rfloor\}$;

   $T' := \{t' \Big| s_{t'} = (\frac{d_1}{2}, -v_2), v_2 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_2-1}{2} \rfloor\}$;
4. K, K', K'', K''' are the same as in I.

III. If d_1 is odd, d_2 is even, then digits can be divided as follows

1. $J_{III} := \{j_1, j_3 \Big| s_{j_1} = (0,0), s_{j_3} = (0, \frac{d_2}{2})\}$;
2. R, R' and Q, Q' are the same as in I;
3. $U := \{u \Big| s_u = (v_1, \frac{d_2}{2}), v_1 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor\}$;

   $U' := \{u' \Big| s_{u'} = (-v_1, \frac{d_2}{2}), v_1 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor\}$;
4. K, K', K'', K''' are the same as in I.

IV. If d_1, d_2 are even, then digits can be divided as follows

1. $J_{IV} := \{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4 \Big| s_{j_1} = (0,0), s_{j_2} = (\frac{d_1}{2}, 0), s_{j_3} = (0, \frac{d_2}{2}), s_{j_4} = (\frac{d_1}{2}, \frac{d_2}{2})\}$;
2. R, R' and Q, Q' are the same as in I;
3. T, T' and U, U' are the same as in II and III;
4. K, K', K'', K''' are the same as in I.

Let us reformulate axial symmetry conditions (43) for mask m_0 in terms of its polyphase components. To cover all cases we give them for the IV type of dilation matrices.

For the I type of dilation matrices mask m_0 can be represented as

$$m_0(\xi)\sqrt{m} = \mu_{0j_1}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{j_1},\xi)} + \sum_{r\in R}\mu_{0r}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_r,\xi)} + \sum_{r'\in R'}\mu_{0r'}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{r'},\xi)} +$$

$$\sum_{q\in Q}\mu_{0q}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_q,\xi)} + \sum_{q'\in Q'}\mu_{0q'}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{q'},\xi)} +$$

$$\sum_{k\in K}\mu_{0k}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_k,\xi)} + \sum_{k'\in K'}\mu_{0k'}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{k'},\xi)} +$$

$$\sum_{k''\in K''}\mu_{0k''}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{k''},\xi)} + \sum_{k'''\in K'''}\mu_{0k'''}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{k'''},\xi)} := m_0^I(\xi),$$

For the II type of dilation matrices mask m_0 can be represented as $m_0(\xi)\sqrt{m} = m_0^I(\xi) + m_0^{II}(\xi)$, where

$$m_0^{II}(\xi) = \sum_{t\in T}\mu_{0t}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_t,\xi)} + \sum_{t'\in T'}\mu_{0t'}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{t'}\xi)} + \mu_{0j_2}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{j_2},\xi)}.$$

For the III type of dilation matrices mask m_0 can be represented as $m_0(\xi)\sqrt{m} = m_0^I(\xi) + m_0^{III}(\xi)$, where

$$m_0^{III}(\xi) = \sum_{u\in U} \mu_{0u}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_u,\xi)} + \sum_{u'\in U'} \mu_{0u'}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{u'},\xi)} + \mu_{0j_3}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{j_3},\xi)}.$$

For the IV type of dilation matrices mask m_0 can be represented as

$$m_0(\xi)\sqrt{m} = m_0^I(\xi) + m_0^{II}(\xi) + m_0^{III}(\xi) + m_0^{IV}(\xi),$$

where

$$m_0^{IV}(\xi) := \mu_{0j_4}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{j_4},\xi)}.$$

From conditions (43) we can conclude the following symmetry conditions on the polyphase components according with the chose digits and taking into account that $c = (0,0)$

$$s_{j_1} = (0,0): \quad \mu_{0j_1}(\xi) = \mu_{0j_1}(-\xi), \quad \mu_{0j_1}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0j_1}(M^*Y^*\xi), \tag{45}$$

$$s_{j_2} = \left(\frac{d_1}{2}, 0\right): \quad \mu_{0j_2}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0j_2}(-M^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_1\xi_1}, \quad \mu_{0j_2}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0j_2}(M^*Y^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_1\xi_1},$$

$$s_{j_3} = \left(0, \frac{d_2}{2}\right): \quad \mu_{0j_3}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0j_3}(-M^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_2\xi_2}, \quad \mu_{0j_3}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0j_3}(M^*Y^*\xi),$$

$$s_{j_4} = \left(\frac{d_1}{2}, \frac{d_2}{2}\right): \quad \mu_{0j_4}(\xi) = \mu_{0j_4}(-\xi)e^{-2\pi i(\xi_1+\xi_2)}, \quad \mu_{0j_4}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0j_4}(M^*Y^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_1\xi_1};$$

$$s_k = (v_1, v_2),\ s_{k'} = (v_1, -v_2),\ s_{k''} = (-v_1, v_2),\ s_{k'''} = (-v_1, -v_2):$$

$$\mu_{0k}(\xi) = \mu_{0k'''}(-\xi), \quad \mu_{0k'}(\xi) = \mu_{0k''}(-\xi),$$
$$\mu_{0k}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0k''}(M^*Y^*\xi), \quad \mu_{0k'}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0k'''}(M^*Y^*\xi), \tag{46}$$

$$s_r = (v_1, 0),\ s_{r'} = (-v_1, 0): \quad \mu_{0r}(\xi) = \mu_{0r'}(-\xi), \quad \mu_{0r}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0r'}(M^*Y^*\xi), \tag{47}$$

$$s_q = (0, v_2),\ s_{q'} = (0, -v_2): \quad \mu_{0q}(\xi) = \mu_{0q'}(-\xi), \quad \mu_{0q}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0q}(M^*Y^*\xi),$$
$$\mu_{0q'}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0q'}(M^*Y^*\xi), \tag{48}$$

$$s_t = \left(\frac{d_1}{2}, v_2\right),\ s_{t'} = \left(\frac{d_1}{2}, -v_2\right): \quad \mu_{0t}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0t'}(-M^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_1\xi_1},$$
$$\mu_{0t}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0t}(M^*Y^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_1\xi_1}, \quad \mu_{0t'}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0t'}(M^*Y^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_1\xi_1}, \tag{49}$$

$$s_u = \left(v_1, \frac{d_2}{2}\right),\ s_{u'} = \left(-v_1, \frac{d_2}{2}\right): \quad \mu_{0u}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0u'}(-M^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_2\xi_2},$$
$$\mu_{0u}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0u'}(M^*Y^*\xi), \tag{50}$$

where $v_1 = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \right\rfloor, v_2 = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_2-1}{2} \right\rfloor$.

Lemma 23 *Let M be the matrix dilation. Then mask m_0 is axial symmetric with respect to the origin if and only if its polyphase components $\mu_{0l}(\xi)$, $l = 0, \dots, m-1$, satisfy conditions (45)-(50) according to the type of dilation matrix.*

Proof. Let mask m_0 be axial symmetric with respect to the origin. Then with the above considerations conditions (45)-(50) hold. Conversely, combine mask m_0 by (3). It is clear that axial symmetry conditions (43) are valid. ◇

Next, we will construct axial symmetric mask m_0 with arbitrary order of sum rule. The construction will be carried out only for $M = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. The construction for $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is similar.

Theorem 24 *Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists mask m_0 that is axial symmetric with respect to the origin and has sum rule of order n.*

Proof. The construction of mask $m_0(\xi)$ will be carried out using the polyphase components $\mu_{0l}(\xi)$, $l = 0, \dots, m-1$. The polyphase components should satisfy condition (11) with some number λ_γ, $\gamma \in \Delta_n$. Also, the above expressions for symmetry for the corresponding polyphase components (45)-(50) must be fulfilled according to the type of matrix dilation M. To cover all cases we consider IV type of dilation matrices. Firstly, we set $\lambda_\gamma = \delta_{\gamma\mathbf{0}}, \gamma \in \Delta_n$. Therefore, by (11) we have the following conditions on the polyphase components

$$D^\beta \mu_{0l}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}} (-M^{-1} s_l)^\beta, \quad \forall \beta \in \Delta_n, \quad l = 0, \dots, m-1, \tag{51}$$

For polyphase components μ_{0k}, $k \in K$ with digits $s_k = (v_1, v_2)$, $v_l = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_l - 1}{2} \right\rfloor$, $l = 1, 2$, we set

$$\mu_{0k}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_n} (-M^{-1} s_k)^\beta G_\beta(\xi),$$

where $G_\beta(\xi) \in \Theta_{\beta,n}$, $\beta \in \Delta_n$, are trigonometric polynomials. The polyphase components with digits $s_{k'} = (v_1, -v_2)$, $s_{k''} = (-v_1, v_2)$, $s_{k'''} = (-v_1, -v_2)$ by symmetry conditions (46) are as follows

$$\mu_{0k'}(\xi) = \mu_{0k}(-Y^* \xi), \quad \mu_{0k''}(\xi) = \mu_{0k}(Y^* \xi), \quad \mu_{0k'''}(\xi) = \mu_{0k}(-\xi).$$

Then condition (51) for μ_{0k} is obviously valid. It follows from

$$-s_k = s_{k'''}, \ -(s_k)_2 = (s_{k'})_2, \ -(s_k)_1 = (s_{k''})_1$$

that condition (51) holds for $\mu_{0k'}, \mu_{0k''}, \mu_{0k'''}$.

For polyphase components μ_{0r}, $r \in R$, with digits $s_r = (v_1, 0)$, $v_1 = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_1 - 1}{2} \right\rfloor$, condition (51) looks as follows

$$D^\beta \mu_{0r}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}} \left(-\frac{v_1}{d_1}, 0 \right)^\beta.$$

Then we set

$$\mu_{0r}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{0 \le \beta_1 < n} \left(-\frac{v_1}{d_1} \right)^{\beta_1} g_{\beta_1}(\xi_1),$$

where $g_{\beta_1}(\xi_1) \in \Theta_{\beta_1,n}$, $0 \le \beta_1 < n$, are trigonometric polynomials. The polyphase components with digits $s_{r'} = (-v_1, 0)$ by symmetry conditions (47) are as follows

$$\mu_{0r'}(\xi) = \mu_{0r}(-\xi).$$

Then condition (51) is obviously valid for μ_{0r} and $\mu_{0r'}$, $r \in R$, $r' \in R'$.

For polyphase components μ_{0q}, $q \in Q$, with digits $s_q = (0, v_2)$, $v_2 = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_2-1}{2} \right\rfloor$, condition (51) looks as follows

$$D^{\beta}\mu_{0q}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}} \left(0, -\frac{v_2}{d_2}\right)^{\beta}.$$

Then we set

$$\mu_{0q}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{0 \le \beta_2 < n} \left(-\frac{v_2}{d_2}\right)^{\beta_2} g_{\beta_2}(\xi_2),$$

where $g_{\beta_2}(\xi_2) \in \Theta_{\beta_2,n}$, $0 \le \beta_2 < n$, are trigonometric polynomials. The polyphase components with digits $s_{q'} = (0, -v_2)$ by symmetry conditions (48) are as follows

$$\mu_{0q'}(\xi) = \mu_{0q}(-\xi).$$

Then condition (51) is obviously valid for μ_{0q} and $\mu_{0q'}$, $q \in Q$, $q' \in Q'$.

For polyphase components μ_{0t}, $t \in T$ with digits $s_t = (\frac{d_1}{2}, v_2)$, $v_2 = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_2-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ condition (51) looks as follows

$$D^{\beta}\mu_{0t}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}} \left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\nu_2}{d_2}\right)^{\beta}.$$

Then we set

$$\mu_{0t}(\xi) = e^{-2\pi i \frac{\xi_1}{2}} g_0^A(\xi_1) \sum_{0 \le \beta_2 < n} \left(-\frac{\nu_2}{d_2}\right)^{\beta_2} g_{\beta_2}(\xi_2)$$

where $g_{\beta_2}(\xi_2) \in \Theta_{\beta_2,n}$, $0 \le \beta_2 < n$, are trigonometric polynomials and $g_0^A(\xi_1) \in \Theta_{0,n}^A$ is trigonometric polynomial of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. The polyphase components with digits $s_{t'} = (\frac{d_1}{2}, -v_2)$ by symmetry conditions (49) are as follows

$$\mu_{0t'}(\xi) = \mu_{0t}(-\xi) e^{-2\pi i \xi_1}.$$

The condition (51) is obviously valid for μ_{0t} and $\mu_{0t'}$, $t \in T$, $t' \in T'$.

For polyphase components μ_{0u}, $u \in U$ with digits $s_u = (v_1, \frac{d_2}{2})$, $v_1 = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ condition (51) looks as follows

$$D^{\beta}\mu_{0u}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}} \left(-\frac{\nu_1}{d_1}, -\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\beta}.$$

Then we set

$$\mu_{0u}(\xi) = \sum_{\mathbf{0} \le \beta_1 \le n} \left(-\frac{\nu_1}{d_1}\right)^{\beta_1} g_{\beta_1}(\xi_1)\, e^{-2\pi i \frac{\xi_2}{2}} g_0^A(\xi_2),$$

where $g_{\beta_1}(\xi_1) \in \Theta_{\beta_1,n}$ $0 \le \beta_1 < n$, are trigonometric polynomials and $g_0^A(\xi_2) \in \Theta_{0,n}^A$ is trigonometric polynomial of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. The polyphase components with digits $s_{u'} = (-v_1, \frac{d_2}{2})$ by symmetry conditions (50) are as follows

$$\mu_{0u'}(\xi) = \mu_{0u}(-\xi) e^{-2\pi i \xi_2}.$$

Then condition (51) is obviously valid for μ_{0u} and $\mu_{0u'}$, $u \in U$, $u' \in U'$.

For polyphase components μ_{0j_p}, $p = 1, \dots, 4$ with digits $s_{j_1} = (0,0)$, $s_{j_2} = \left(\frac{d_1}{2}, 0\right)$, $s_{j_3} = \left(0, \frac{d_2}{2}\right)$, $s_{j_4} = \left(\frac{d_1}{2}, \frac{d_2}{2}\right)$ condition (51) looks as follows

$$D^{\beta}\mu_{0j_1}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}}\delta_{\mathbf{0}\beta}, \quad D^{\beta}\mu_{0j_2}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}}\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)^{\beta},$$

$$D^{\beta}\mu_{0j_3}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}}\left(0, -\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\beta}, \quad D^{\beta}\mu_{0j_4}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}}\left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\beta}.$$

Then we set

$$\mu_{0j_1}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}, \quad \mu_{0j_2}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}e^{-2\pi i \frac{\xi_1}{2}}g_0^A(\xi_1),$$

$$\mu_{0j_3}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}e^{-2\pi i \frac{\xi_2}{2}}g_0^A(\xi_2), \quad \mu_{0j_4}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}e^{-2\pi i \frac{\xi_1+\xi_2}{2}}g_{\mathbf{0}}^A(\xi).$$

where $g_0^A(\xi_i) \in \Theta_{0,n}^A$, $i = 1, 2$, are trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ and $g_{\mathbf{0}}^A(\xi) \in \Theta_{\mathbf{0},n}^A$ is trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. Then condition (51) is obviously valid for μ_{0j_p}, $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$. $\diamond$

Theorem 25 *Let matrix dilation M be as in (44), $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^d$, mask m_0 be axial symmetric with respect to the center c and has sum rule of order n. Then there exist mask $\widetilde{m}_0$ that is axial symmetric with respect to the center c and satisfies condition (14).*

The proof remains almost the same as Theorem's 18 proof but $\rho_\beta = 0$, if $o(\beta) \neq \emptyset$, i.e. if β_1 or β_2 is odd. Also, instead of functions $G_\beta^A(\xi)$, $\beta \in \Delta_n^e$, in (40) we should take $G_\beta^{AxSym}(\xi)$ for $o(\beta) = \emptyset$ that are axial symmetric trigonometric polynomial of semi-integer degree associated with $\sigma = c - \lfloor c \rfloor$ such that $G_\beta^{AxSym}(\xi) \in \Theta_{\beta,n}$. They can be easily constructed as follows

$$G_\beta^{AxSym}(\xi) = \frac{1}{4}(G_\beta(\xi) + G_\beta(Y^*\xi) + G_\beta(-Y^*\xi) + G_\beta(-\xi)), \quad \forall \beta \in \Delta_n, \quad o(\beta) = \emptyset,$$

where $G_\beta(\xi) \in \Theta_{\beta,n}$, $\beta \in \Delta_n$, $o(\beta) = \emptyset$, are trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degree associated with $\sigma = c - \lfloor c \rfloor$.

Theorem 26 *Let matrix dilation M be as in (44), $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Masks m_0 and $\widetilde{m}_0$ are axial symmetric with respect to the origin, mask m_0 has sum rule of order n, mask $\widetilde{m}_0$ satisfies condition (14). Then there exist wavelet masks m_ν and $\widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu = 1, \dots, m$, which are axial symmetric/antisymmetric with respect to some centers and masks $\widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu = 1, \dots, m$, have vanishing moments of order n.*

Proof. Matrix extension providing vanishing moments of order n for $\widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu = 1, \dots, m$, realises as in (41) and (42). We show that after some transformations axial symmetric/antisymmetric wavelet masks can be received. Denote matrices elements and matrices rows as in Theorem's 19 proof. To cover all cases we consider IV type of dilation matrices. Due to the symmetry of masks m_0 and $\widetilde{m}_0$ conditions (45)-(50) are satisfied for the corresponding polyphase components $\mu_{0,s}(\xi)$ and $\widetilde{\mu}_{0,s}(\xi)$, $s = 0, \dots, m-1$.

Let us consider the rows $\widetilde{Q}_{j_p}$, $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The first m elements of the rows $\widetilde{Q}_{j_p}$ look as follows

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{j_pk}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j_p}(\xi)}, \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{j_pk'}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0k'}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j_p}(\xi)}, \quad k \in K,\ k' \in K';$$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{j_pk''}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0k''}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j_p}(\xi)}, \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{j_pk'''}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0k'''}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j_p}(\xi)}, \quad k'' \in K'',\ k''' \in K''';$$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{j_pq}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0q}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j_p}(\xi)}, \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{j_pq'}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0q'}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j_p}(\xi)}, \quad q \in Q,\ q' \in Q';$$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{j_pr}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0r}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j_p}(\xi)}, \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{j_pr'}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0r'}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j_p}(\xi)}, \quad r \in R,\ r' \in R';$$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{j_pt}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0t}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j_p}(\xi)}, \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{j_pt'}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0t'}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j_p}(\xi)}, \quad t \in T,\, t' \in T';$$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{j_pu}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0u}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j_p}(\xi)}, \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{j_pu'}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0u'}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j_p}(\xi)}, \quad u \in U,\, u' \in U';$$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{j_pj_r}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0j_r}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j_p}(\xi)}, r = 1, 2, 3, 4, r \neq p;$$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{j_pj_p}(\xi) = 1 - \widetilde{\mu}_{0j_p}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j_p}(\xi)}.$$

Due to the fact that

$$\mu_{0j_1}(\xi) = \mu_{0j_1}(-\xi), \quad \mu_{0j_1}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0j_1}(M^*Y^*\xi), \tag{52}$$

it is clear that conditions (45)-(50) are valid for $\widetilde{\mu}_{j_1s}(\xi)$, $s = 0, \dots, m-1$. These elements are the polyphase components of wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_{j_1}(\xi)$. Therefore, by Lemma 23 $\widetilde{m}_{j_1}(\xi)$ is axial symmetric with respect to the origin. Using the same considerations and

$$\mu_{0j_2}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0j_2}(-M^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_1\xi_1}, \quad \mu_{0j_2}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0j_2}(M^*Y^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_1\xi_1} \tag{53}$$

$$\mu_{0j_3}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0j_3}(-M^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_2\xi_2}, \quad \mu_{0j_3}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0j_3}(M^*Y^*\xi)$$

$$\mu_{0j_4}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0j_4}(-M^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i(d,\xi)}, \quad \mu_{0j_4}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0j_4}(M^*Y^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_1\xi_1}$$

we can conclude, that $\widetilde{m}_{j_2}$ is axial symmetric with respect to the center $\left(\frac{d_1}{2}, 0\right)$; $\widetilde{m}_{j_3}$ is axial symmetric with respect to the center $\left(0, \frac{d_2}{2}\right)$; $\widetilde{m}_{j_4}$ is axial symmetric with respect to the center $\frac{d}{2}$.

Let us consider the rows $\widetilde{Q}_k, \widetilde{Q}_{k'}, \widetilde{Q}_{k''}, \widetilde{Q}_{k'''}$, for $k \in K$, $k' \in K'$, $k'' \in K''$, $k''' \in K'''$ such that

$$s_k = (v_1, v_2),\ s_{k'} = (v_1, -v_2),\ s_{k''} = (-v_1, v_2),\ s_{k'''} = (-v_1, -v_2),$$

where $v_1 = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \right\rfloor, v_2 = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_2-1}{2} \right\rfloor$. Transform them into

$$\widetilde{Q}'_k := \frac{1}{4}(\widetilde{Q}_k + \widetilde{Q}_{k'} + \widetilde{Q}_{k''} + \widetilde{Q}_{k'''}), \quad \widetilde{Q}'_{k'} := \frac{1}{4}(\widetilde{Q}_k - \widetilde{Q}_{k'} - \widetilde{Q}_{k''} + \widetilde{Q}_{k'''}),$$

$$\widetilde{Q}'_{k''} := \frac{1}{4}(\widetilde{Q}_k + \widetilde{Q}_{k'} - \widetilde{Q}_{k''} - \widetilde{Q}_{k'''}), \quad \widetilde{Q}'_{k'''} := \frac{1}{4}(\widetilde{Q}_k - \widetilde{Q}_{k'} + \widetilde{Q}_{k''} - \widetilde{Q}_{k'''}).$$

Denote

$$A(\xi) = \overline{\mu_{0k}(\xi)} + \overline{\mu_{0k'}(\xi)} + \overline{\mu_{0k''}(\xi)} + \overline{\mu_{0k'''}(\xi)}, \quad B(\xi) = \overline{\mu_{0k}(\xi)} - \overline{\mu_{0k'}(\xi)} - \overline{\mu_{0k''}(\xi)} + \overline{\mu_{0k'''}(\xi)},$$

$$C(\xi) = \overline{\mu_{0k}(\xi)} - \overline{\mu_{0k'}(\xi)} + \overline{\mu_{0k''}(\xi)} - \overline{\mu_{0k'''}(\xi)}, \quad D(\xi) = \overline{\mu_{0k}(\xi)} + \overline{\mu_{0k'}(\xi)} - \overline{\mu_{0k''}(\xi)} - \overline{\mu_{0k'''}(\xi)}.$$

Therefore, by (46)

$$A(\xi) = A(-\xi), \quad A(M^*\xi) = A(M^*Y^*\xi), \quad B(\xi) = B(-\xi), \quad B(M^*\xi) = -B(M^*Y^*\xi),$$

$$C(\xi) = -C(-\xi), \quad C(M^*\xi) = C(M^*Y^*\xi), \quad D(\xi) = -D(-\xi), \quad D(M^*\xi) = -D(M^*Y^*\xi).$$

Consider the first m elements of the row $\widetilde{Q}'_k$. Due to the fact that $A(\xi)$ has the same symmetry properties as μ_{0j_1} in (52), we have almost the same situation as for the row $\widetilde{Q}_{j_1}$ except the elements

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{kk}(\xi) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k}(\xi)A(\xi), \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{kk'}(\xi) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k'}(\xi)A(\xi),$$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{kk''}(\xi) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k''}(\xi)A(\xi), \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{kk'''}(\xi) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4}\widetilde{\mu}_{0k'''}(\xi)A(\xi), \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{kj_1} = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0j_1}(\xi)A(\xi).$$

It is clear that those elements also satisfy the conditions (45) and (46). Then wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_k$ is axial symmetric with respect to the origin. With the same reasoning from the other rows $\widetilde{Q}'_{k'}$, $\widetilde{Q}'_{k''}$, $\widetilde{Q}'_{k'''}$, we receive wavelet masks $\widetilde{m}_{k'}$, $\widetilde{m}_{k''}$, $\widetilde{m}_{k'''}$ that are axial antisymmetric as follows

$$\widetilde{m}_{k'}(\xi) = \widetilde{m}_{k'}(-\xi), \quad \widetilde{m}_{k'}(\xi) = -\widetilde{m}_{k'}(Y^*\xi).$$

$$\widetilde{m}_{k''}(\xi) = -\widetilde{m}_{k''}(-\xi), \quad \widetilde{m}_{k''}(\xi) = \widetilde{m}_{k''}(Y^*\xi).$$

$$\widetilde{m}_{k'''}(\xi) = -\widetilde{m}_{k'''}(-\xi), \quad \widetilde{m}_{k'''}(\xi) = -\widetilde{m}_{k'''}(Y^*\xi).$$

Let us consider the rows $\widetilde{Q}_r, \widetilde{Q}_{r'}$ for $r \in R$, $r' \in R'$ such that $s_r = (v_1, 0)$, $s_{r'} = (-v_1, 0)$, where $v_1 = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \right\rfloor$. Transform them into

$$\widetilde{Q}'_r := \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{Q}_r + \widetilde{Q}_{r'}), \quad \widetilde{Q}'_{r'} := \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{Q}_r - \widetilde{Q}_{r'}).$$

Denote,

$$A(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{\mu_{0r}(\xi)} + \overline{\mu_{0r'}(\xi)}\right), \quad B(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{\mu_{0r}(\xi)} - \overline{\mu_{0r'}(\xi)}\right).$$

Therefore, by (47)

$$A(\xi) = A(-\xi), \quad A(M^*\xi) = A(M^*Y^*\xi), \quad B(\xi) = -B(-\xi), \quad B(M^*\xi) = -B(M^*Y^*\xi).$$

Due to the fact that $A(\xi)$ has the same symmetry properties as μ_{0j_1} in (52), we have almost the same situation as for the row $\widetilde{Q}_{j_1}$ except the elements

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{rj_1} = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0j_1}(\xi)A(\xi), \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{rr} = \frac{1}{2} - \widetilde{\mu}_{0r}(\xi)A(\xi), \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{rr'} = \frac{1}{2} - \widetilde{\mu}_{0r'}(\xi)A(\xi),$$

It is clear that those elements also satisfy conditions (47) and (45). Then wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_r$ is axial symmetric with respect to the origin. With the same reasoning from the other row $\widetilde{Q}'_{r'}$ we receive wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_{r'}$ that is axial antisymmetric as follows

$$\widetilde{m}_{r'}(\xi) = -\widetilde{m}_{r'}(-\xi), \quad \widetilde{m}_{r'}(\xi) = -\widetilde{m}_{r'}(Y^*\xi).$$

Let us consider the rows $\widetilde{Q}_q, \widetilde{Q}_{q'}$ for $q \in Q$, $q' \in Q'$ such that $s_q = (0, v_2)$, $s_{q'} = (0, -v_2)$, where $v_2 = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_2-1}{2} \right\rfloor$. Transform them into

$$\widetilde{Q}'_q := \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{Q}_q + \widetilde{Q}_{q'}), \quad \widetilde{Q}'_{q'} := \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{Q}_q - \widetilde{Q}_{q'}).$$

Due to the same reasoning as for the rows $\widetilde{Q}_r, \widetilde{Q}_{r'}$ we conclude that wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_q$ is axial symmetric with respect to the origin, wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_{q'}$ is axial antisymmetric as follows

$$\widetilde{m}_{q'}(\xi) = -\widetilde{m}_{q'}(-\xi), \quad \widetilde{m}_{q'}(\xi) = \widetilde{m}_{q'}(Y^*\xi).$$

Let us consider the rows $\widetilde{Q}_t, \widetilde{Q}_{t'}$ for $t \in T$, $t' \in T'$ such that $s_t = \left(\frac{d_1}{2}, v_2\right)$, $s_{t'} = \left(\frac{d_1}{2}, -v_2\right)$, where $v_2 = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_2-1}{2} \right\rfloor$. Transform them into

$$\widetilde{Q}'_t := \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{Q}_t + \widetilde{Q}_{t'}), \quad \widetilde{Q}'_{t'} := \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{Q}_t - \widetilde{Q}_{t'}).$$

Denote,

$$A(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_{0t}(\xi) + \mu_{0t'}(\xi)\right), \quad B(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_{0t}(\xi) - \mu_{0t'}(\xi)\right).$$

Therefore, by (49)

$$A(M^*\xi) = e^{-2\pi i d_1 \xi_1} A(-M^*\xi), \quad A(M^*\xi) = e^{-2\pi i d_1 \xi_1} A(M^*Y^*\xi),$$

$$B(M^*\xi) = -e^{-2\pi i d_1 \xi_1} B(-M^*\xi), \quad B(M^*\xi) = e^{-2\pi i d_1 \xi_1} B(M^*Y^*\xi).$$

Due to the fact that $A(\xi)$ has the same symmetry properties as μ_{0j_2} in (53), we have almost the same situation as for the row $\widetilde{Q}_{j_2}$ except the elements

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{tj_2}(\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0j_2}(\xi)\overline{A(\xi)}, \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{tt}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} - \widetilde{\mu}_{0t}(\xi)\overline{A(\xi)}, \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{tt'}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} - \widetilde{\mu}_{0t'}(\xi)\overline{A(\xi)}.$$

It is clear that those elements also satisfy conditions (45) and (49). Then wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_t$ is axial symmetric with respect to the the center $\left(\frac{d_1}{2}, 0\right)$, With the same reasoning from the other row $\widetilde{Q}'_{t'}$ we receive wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_{t'}$ that is axial antisymmetric as follows

$$\widetilde{m}_{t'}(\xi) = -e^{2\pi i((d_1,0),\xi)}\widetilde{m}_{t'}(-\xi), \quad \widetilde{m}_{t'}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i((d_1,0),\xi)}\widetilde{m}_{t'}(Y^*\xi).$$

Let us consider the rows $\widetilde{Q}_u, \widetilde{Q}_{u'}$ for $u \in U$, $u \in U$ such that $s_u = \left(v_1, \frac{d_2}{2}\right)$, $s_{u'} = \left(-v_1, \frac{d_2}{2}\right)$, where $v_1 = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_1 - 1}{2} \right\rfloor$. Transform them into

$$\widetilde{Q}'_u := \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{Q}_u + \widetilde{Q}_{u'}), \quad \widetilde{Q}'_{u'} := \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{Q}_u - \widetilde{Q}_{u'}).$$

Due to the same reasoning as for the rows $\widetilde{Q}_t, \widetilde{Q}_{t'}$ we conclude that wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_u$ is axial symmetric with respect to the center $(0, \frac{d_2}{2})$, wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_{u'}$ is axial antisymmetric as follows

$$\widetilde{m}_{u'}(\xi) = -e^{2\pi i((0,d_2),\xi)}\widetilde{m}_{u'}(-\xi), \quad \widetilde{m}_{u'}(\xi) = -\widetilde{m}_{u'}(Y^*\xi).$$

For the rows Q_l of matrix $\mathcal{N}$ we make analogous transformations as for $\widetilde{Q}_l$, $l = 0, \dots, m-1$. For instance,

$$Q'_u := Q_u + Q_{u'}, \quad Q'_{u'} := Q_u - Q_{u'}, \quad \forall u \in U, u' \in U'.$$

New rows Q'_l form wavelet masks m_l that are axial symmetric/antisymmetric with respect to some points. It is clear that the equality $\mathcal{N}\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^* = I_{m+1}$ remains correct with new rows.$\diamond$

Now, we consider the case when symmetry center c is semi-integer, i.e. $c_i \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^2, i = 1, 2$. Due to the fact that orders of sum rule, vanishing moments, linear-phase moments and axial symmetry of trigonometric polynomial are invariant with respect to the integer shift it is enough to consider only the case when $c = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Let us define the set of digits $D(M)$ as

$$D(M) = \left\{ (v_1, v_2) \middle| \quad v_l = -\left\lfloor \frac{d_l - 1}{2} \right\rfloor, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_l}{2} \right\rfloor, l = 1, 2 \right\}.$$

Enumerate all digits from 0 to $m-1$ and divide them into several sets with respect to the parity of integers d_1 and d_2.

I. If d_1, d_2 are even, then the set of digits can be divided as follows

$K := \{k \big| s_k = (v_1, v_2), \quad v_l = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_l}{2} \right\rfloor, l = 1, 2\},$

$K' := \{k' \big| s_{k'} = (v_1, 1 - v_2), \quad v_l = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_l}{2} \right\rfloor, l = 1, 2\},$

$K'' := \{k'' \big| s_{k''} = (1 - v_1, v_2), \quad v_l = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_l}{2} \right\rfloor, l = 1, 2\},$

$K''' := \{k''' \big| s_{k'''} = (1 - v_1, 1 - v_2), \quad v_l = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_l}{2} \right\rfloor, l = 1, 2\};$

II. If d_1 is odd, d_2 is even, then the set of digits can be divided as follows

1. $T := \{t \Big| s_t = (-\frac{d_1-1}{2}, v_2), v_2 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_2}{2} \rfloor\}$;

   $T' := \{t' \Big| s_{t'} = (-\frac{d_1-1}{2}, 1-v_2), v_2 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_2}{2} \rfloor\}$;

2. K, K', K'', K''' are the same as in I.

III. If d_1 is even, d_2 is odd, then the set of digits can be divided as follows

1. $U := \{u \Big| s_u = (v_1, -\frac{d_2-1}{2}), v_1 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_1}{2} \rfloor\}$;

   $U' := \{u' \Big| s_{u'} = (1-v_1, -\frac{d_2-1}{2}), v_1 = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d_1}{2} \rfloor\}$;

2. K, K', K'', K''' are the same as in I.

IV. If d_1, d_2 are odd, then the set of digits can be divided as follows

1. $J := \{j_1 \Big| s_{j_1} = (-\frac{d_1-1}{2}, -\frac{d_2-1}{2})\}$;
2. T, T' and U, U' are the same as in II and III;
3. K, K', K'', K''' are the same as in I.

Let us reformulate the axial symmetry conditions (43) for mask m_0 in terms of its polyphase components. To cover all cases we give them for the IV type of dilation matrices.

For the I type of dilation matrices mask m_0 can be represented as

$$m_0(\xi)\sqrt{m} = \sum_{k\in K} \mu_{0k}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_k,\xi)} + \sum_{k'\in K'} \mu_{0k'}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{k'},\xi)}$$

$$\sum_{k''\in K''} \mu_{0k''}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{k''},\xi)} + \sum_{k'''\in K'''} \mu_{0k'''}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{k'''},\xi)} := m_0^I(\xi).$$

For the II type of dilation matrices mask m_0 can be represented as $m_0(\xi)\sqrt{m} = m_0^I(\xi) + m_0^{II}(\xi)$, where

$$m_0^{II}(\xi) = \sum_{t\in T} \mu_{0t}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_t,\xi)} + \sum_{t'\in T'} \mu_{0t'}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{t'}\xi)}.$$

For the III type of dilation matrices mask m_0 can be represented as $m_0(\xi)\sqrt{m} = m_0^I(\xi)+m_0^{III}(\xi)$, where

$$m_0^{III}(\xi) = \sum_{u\in U} \mu_{0u}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_u,\xi)} + \sum_{u'\in U'} \mu_{0u'}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{u'},\xi)}.$$

For the IV type of dilation matrices mask m_0 can be represented as

$$m_0(\xi)\sqrt{m} = m_0^I(\xi) + m_0^{II}(\xi) + m_0^{III}(\xi) + m_0^{IV}(\xi),$$

where

$$m_0^{IV}(\xi) := \mu_{0j_1}(M^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(s_{j_1},\xi)}.$$

From conditions (43) we can conclude the following conditions on the polyphase components according to the chosen digits and taking into account that $c = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$

$$s_{j_1} = \left(-\frac{d_1-1}{2}, -\frac{d_2-1}{2}\right): \quad \mu_{0j_1}(\xi) = \mu_{0j_1}(-\xi)e^{-2\pi i(2c,\xi)}, \quad \mu_{0j_1}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0j_1}(M^*Y^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_1\xi_1},$$

$s_k = (v_1, v_2)$, $s_{k'} = (v_1, 1-v_2)$, $s_{k''} = (1-v_1, v_2)$, $s_{k'''} = (1-v_1, 1-v_2)$:

$$\mu_{0k}(\xi) = \mu_{0k'''}(-\xi), \quad \mu_{0k'}(\xi) = \mu_{0k''}(-\xi),$$
$$\mu_{0k}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0k''}(M^*Y^*\xi), \quad \mu_{0k'}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0k'''}(M^*Y^*\xi),$$

$$s_t = \left(-\frac{d_1-1}{2}, v_2\right),\ s_{t'} = \left(-\frac{d_1-1}{2}, 1-v_2\right): \quad \mu_{0t}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0t'}(-M^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_1 \xi_1},$$
$$\mu_{0t}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0t}(M^*Y^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_1 \xi_1}, \quad \mu_{0t'}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0t'}(M^*Y^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_1 \xi_1},$$

$$s_u = \left(v_1, -\frac{d_2-1}{2}\right),\ s_{u'} = \left(1-v_1, -\frac{d_2-1}{2}\right):$$
$$\mu_{0u}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0u'}(-M^*\xi)e^{-2\pi i d_2 \xi_2}, \quad \mu_{0u}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0u'}(M^*Y^*\xi),$$

where $v_1 = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_1}{2} \right\rfloor, v_2 = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{d_2}{2} \right\rfloor$,

Next, we will construct axial symmetric mask m_0 with arbitrary order of sum rule.

Theorem 27 *Let* $M = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then there exists mask* m_0 *that is axial symmetric with respect to the center* $c = (1/2, 1/2)$ *and has sum rule of order* n.

Theorem 28 *Let matrix dilation* M *be as in (44),* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Masks* m_0 *and* $\widetilde{m}_0$ *are axial symmetric with respect to the center* c, *mask* m_0 *has sum rule of order* n, *mask* $\widetilde{m}_0$ *satisfies condition (14). Then there exist wavelet masks* m_ν *and* $\widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu = 1, \dots, m$, *which are axial symmetric/antisymmetric with respect to some centers and masks* $\widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu = 1, \dots, m$, *have vanishing moments of order* n.

Proofs of these theorems almost repeat the proofs of Theorem 24 and Theorem 26.

5 Construction of highly symmetric frame-like wavelets

This section is devoted to the construction of highly symmetric frame-like wavelet systems for some dilation matrices in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let H be a symmetry group on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. In what follows in this section, we consider dilation matrices M such that symmetry group H is a symmetry group with respect to these dilation matrices M, i.e.

$$MEM^{-1} \in H, \quad \forall E \subset H$$

and also for all digits $s_j \in D(M)$ we have

$$Es_j = Mr_j^E + s_j, \quad r_j^E \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad \forall E \in H, \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1, \tag{54}$$

i.e. $Es_j \in \Omega_M^j$, $j = 0, \dots, m-1$. Let c be in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. With the imposed requirements the polyphase components of H-symmetric mask remains H-symmetric.

Lemma 29 *Let* H *be a symmetry group on* $\mathbb{Z}^d$, M *be the dilation matrix,* $c \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. *A mask* $m_0(\xi)$ *is* H*-symmetric with respect to the center* c *if and only if its polyphase components* $\mu_{0j}(\xi)$, $j = 0, \dots, m-1$, *satisfy*

$$\mu_{0j}(\xi) = \mu_{0j}(M^*E^*M^{*-1}\xi)e^{2\pi i (r_j^E, \xi)}e^{2\pi i (M^{-1}(c-Ec), \xi)}, \quad \forall E \in H, \tag{55}$$

where r_j^E *are given by (54).*

Proof. Firstly, we assume that mask m_0 is H-symmetric with respect to the center c, i.e.

$$e^{2\pi i(-c,\xi)}m_0(\xi) = e^{2\pi i(-Ec,\xi)}m_0(E^*\xi), \quad \forall E \in H.$$

Define $m_0'(\xi) = e^{2\pi i(-c,\xi)}m_0(\xi)$. It is clear that $m_0'(\xi)$ is H-symmetric with respect to the origin. The polyphase components of $m_0'(\xi)$ are $\mu_{0j}'(\xi)$. Then, by (54)

$$m_0'(E^*\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{2\pi i(Es_j,\xi)}\mu_{0j}'(M^*E^*\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{2\pi i(Mr_j^E+s_j,\xi)}\mu_{0j}'(M^*E^*\xi) =$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{2\pi i(s_j,\xi)}\mu_{0j}'(M^*\xi) = m_0'(\xi), \quad \forall E \in H.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\mu_{0j}'(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0j}'(M^*E^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(Mr_j^E,\xi)}, \quad \forall E \in H, \quad \forall j \in \{0,\dots,m-1\}.$$

Finally,

$$\mu_{0j}'(\xi) = \mu_{0j}'(M^*E^*M^{*-1}\xi)e^{2\pi i(r_j^E,\xi)}, \quad \forall E \in H, \quad \forall j \in \{0,\dots,m-1\}.$$

Due to $m_0(\xi) = e^{2\pi i(c,\xi)}m_0'(\xi)$, the polyphase components of m_0 and m_0' are related as follows

$$\mu_{0j}(M^*\xi) = e^{2\pi i(c,\xi)}\mu_{0j}'(M^*\xi), \quad \mu_{0j}(M^*E^*\xi) = e^{2\pi i(Ec,\xi)}\mu_{0j}'(M^*E^*\xi), \quad \forall j \in \{0,\dots,m-1\}.$$

Thereby,

$$\mu_{0j}(M^*\xi) = \mu_{0j}(M^*E^*\xi)e^{2\pi i(Mr_j^E,\xi)}e^{2\pi i(c-Ec,\xi)}, \quad \forall E \in H, \quad \forall j \in \{0,\dots,m-1\}.$$

If $c \in \Omega_M^j$ for some $j \in \{0,\dots,m-1\}$, then $Ec \in \Omega_M^j$. It is clear that $M^{-1}(c-Ec) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Therefore, (55) is valid.

The proof of the conversely statement is obvious. $\diamond$

Theorem 30 *Let M be the matrix dilation, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $c \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, H be a symmetry group on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Masks m_0 and $\widetilde{m}_0$ are H-symmetric with respect to the center c, mask m_0 has sum rule of order n, mask $\widetilde{m}_0$ satisfies condition (14). Then there exist wavelet masks m_ν and $\widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu = 1,\dots,m$, which are H-symmetric with respect to some points and wavelet masks $\widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu = 1,\dots,m$, have vanishing moments of order n.*

Proof. Matrix extension providing vanishing moments of order n for $\widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu = 1,\dots,m$, realises as in (41) and (42). We denote matrices elements as in Theorem's 19 proof

$$\mathcal{N} = \{\mu_{kn}\}_{k,n=0}^m, \quad \mathcal{N} = \{\widetilde{\mu}_{kn}\}_{k,n=0}^m,$$

the first rows of matrices $\mathcal{N}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ by P, $\widetilde{P}$ and the other rows by Q_ν, $\widetilde{Q}_\nu$, $\nu = 0,\dots,m-1$.

Due to H-symmetry of masks $m_0(\xi)$ and $\widetilde{m}_0(\xi)$, by Lemma 29 (55) is valid for its polyphase components $\mu_{0j}(\xi)$ and $\widetilde{\mu}_{0j}(\xi)$, $j = 0,\dots,m-1$, respectively.

Let us consider the row $\widetilde{Q}_j$, $j \in \{0,\dots,m-1\}$,

$$\widetilde{Q}_j = (\dots, -\widetilde{\mu}_{0l}\overline{\mu}_{0j}, \dots, 1 - \widetilde{\mu}_{0j}\overline{\mu}_{0j}, \dots), \quad l \in \{0,\dots,m-1\},\ l \neq j.$$

It is clear that

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{jl}(M^*E^*M^{*-1}\xi) = -\widetilde{\mu}_{0l}(M^*E^*M^{*-1}\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j}(M^*E^*M^{*-1}\xi)} = -e^{-2\pi i(r_l^E,\xi)}e^{2\pi i(r_j^E,\xi)}\widetilde{\mu}_{0l}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j}(\xi)} =$$

$$= e^{2\pi i(r_j^E - r_l^E,\xi)}\widetilde{\mu}_{jl}(\xi), \quad \forall E \in H,\ \forall l = 0,\dots,m-1,\ l \neq j,$$

where r_j^E, r_l^E defined by (54);

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{jj}(M^*E^*M^{*-1}\xi) = 1-\widetilde{\mu}_{0j}(M^*E^*M^{*-1}\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j}(M^*E^*M^{*-1}\xi)} = 1-\widetilde{\mu}_{0j}(\xi)\overline{\mu_{0j}(\xi)} = \widetilde{\mu}_{jj}(\xi) \quad \forall E \in H.$$

By Lemma 29 wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_j(\xi)$ is H-symmetric with respect to the point s_j, $j \in \{0,\dots,m-1\}$. Wavelet masks m_j, $j = 0,\dots,m-1$ are obviously H-symmetric. ◇

Using Lemma 2 and Lemma 29 for appropriate symmetry groups H and matrix dilations M we suggest a simple algorithm for the construction of mask m_0 that is H-symmetric with respect to the center c and has arbitrary order of sum rule. The symmetry center $c \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, but due to the integer shifts invariance of the main properties (like orders of sum rule, linear-phase moments and H-symmetry) it is enough to consider only the case when $c = \mathbf{0}$.

Let H be a symmetry group on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, M be the dilation matrix. In what follows, we assume that for each $E \in H$, $s_j \in D(M)$ and any trigonometric polynomial $t(\xi)$ of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = M^{-1}s_j - \lfloor M^{-1}s_j \rfloor$ trigonometric polynomial $t(E^*\xi)$ remains trigonometric polynomial of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = M^{-1}s_j - \lfloor M^{-1}s_j \rfloor$.

Theorem 31 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, H be a symmetry group on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, M be the dilation matrix. Then, there exist masks m_0 and $\widetilde{m}_0$ that are H-symmetric with respect to the origin, mask m_0 has sum rule of order n, mask $\widetilde{m}_0$ satisfies condition (14).*

Proof. To construct such trigonometric polynomial $m_0(\xi)$ we set $\lambda_\gamma = \delta_{\gamma\mathbf{0}}, \gamma \in \Delta_n$. Therefore, by (11) we have the following conditions on the polyphase components $\mu_{0j}(\xi)$ of mask m_0

$$D^\beta \mu_{0j}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{(2\pi i)^{[\beta]}}{\sqrt{m}}(-M^{-1}s_j)^\beta, \quad \forall j \in \{0,\dots,m-1\}, \quad \forall \beta \in \Delta_n. \tag{56}$$

Also, to provide H-symmetry with respect to the origin for mask m_0 the polyphase components $\mu_{0j}(\xi)$, $j \in \{0,\dots,m-1\}$ should satisfy (55).

To provide the both requirements we set

$$\mu_{0j}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}G_{\mathbf{0}}^H(\xi)e^{-2\pi i(M^{-1}s_j,\xi)},$$

where $G_{\mathbf{0}}^H(\xi) \in \Theta_{\mathbf{0},n}$ are trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = M^{-1}s_j - \lfloor M^{-1}s_j \rfloor$ and H-symmetric with respect to the origin. For instance, they can be easily obtained by

$$G_{\mathbf{0}}^H(\xi) = \frac{1}{\#H}\sum_{E \in H} G_{\mathbf{0}}(E^*\xi),$$

where $G_{\mathbf{0}}(\xi) \in \Theta_{\mathbf{0},n}$ are trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees associated with $\sigma = M^{-1}s_j - \lfloor M^{-1}s_j \rfloor$, $\#H$ is the cardinality of the set H.

It is clear that condition (56) is valid. Symmetry conditions (55) are also satisfied since

$$\mu_{0j}(M^*E^*M^{*-1}\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}G_{\mathbf{0}}^H(M^*E^*M^{*-1}\xi)e^{-2\pi i(M^{-1}s_j,M^*E^*M^{*-1}\xi)} =$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}G_{\mathbf{0}}^H(\xi)e^{-2\pi i(M^{-1}Es_j,\xi)} = \mu_{0j}(\xi)e^{-2\pi i(r_j^E,\xi)}, \quad \forall E \in H, \quad \forall j \in \{0,\dots,m-1\}.$$

Thus, we construct mask m_0 that is H-symmetric with respect to the origin and has sum rule of order n.

It is clear that

$$D^\beta m_0(0) = \delta_{\beta\mathbf{0}}, \quad \forall\beta \in \Delta_n.$$

Therefore, according to condition (14) as appropriate dual mask $\widetilde{m}_0$ we simply take $\widetilde{m}_0 \equiv 1$ or

$$\widetilde{m}_0(\xi) = G^H_{\mathbf{0}}(\xi),$$

where $G^H_{\mathbf{0}}(\xi) \in \Theta_{\mathbf{0},n}$ are H-symmetric trigonometric polynomials with respect to the origin. Again, they can be easily obtained by

$$G^H_{\mathbf{0}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\#H} \sum_{E\in H} G_{\mathbf{0}}(E^*\xi),$$

where $G_{\mathbf{0}}(\xi) \in \Theta_{\mathbf{0},n}$ are trigonometric polynomials. ◇

Now, we give some examples of symmetry groups with appropriate matrix dilations. Let H be the axis symmetry group on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, i.e.

$$H = \{\mathtt{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_d) : \varepsilon_r = \pm 1, \forall r = 1, \ldots, d\}.$$

As matrix dilation, we take $M = 2P$, where P is a permutation matrix, i.e. square matrix that has exactly one entry 1 in each row and each column and zeros elsewhere. Due to the statement [14, Proposition 4.1], H is a symmetry group with respect to these dilation matrices. Condition (54) is also valid. Indeed, if digits s_j, $j = 0, \ldots, 2^d$ are chosen as coordinates of the vertices of d-dimensional unit cube, then vector $Es_j - s_j$ consists of numbers -2 and 0. It is clear that $M^{-1}(Es_j - s_j) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\forall E \in H$, $j = 0, \ldots, 2^d$.

Another example, let H be the 4-fold symmetry group on $\mathbb{Z}^2$, i.e.

$$H = \left\{ \pm I_2, \pm \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

As matrix dilation, we take the quincunx matrix dilation

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathtt{or} \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Not hard to check that H is a symmetry group with respect to these dilation matrices. The set of digits is $D(M) = \{s_0 = (0,0), s_1 = (1,0)\}$. Condition (54) is also valid. Assumption about trigonometric polynomials of semi-integer degrees is satisfied for the both case.

6 Examples

In this section we shall give several examples to illustrate the main results of this paper. All examples are based on the construction of the refinable mask m_0, dual refinable mask $\widetilde{m}_0$, wavelet masks m_ν, $\widetilde{m}_\nu$, $\nu = 1, \ldots, m$ by Theorem 9, Theorem 18 and Theorem 19 for point symmetry and by Theorem 24-28 for axis symmetry.

1. Let $c = \mathbf{0}$, $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. The set of digits is

$$D(M) = \{s_0 = (0,0), s_1 = (0,-1), s_2 = (0,1)\},$$

$m = 3$. Let us construct mask m_0 that is symmetric with respect to the origin and satisfies condition (26) with $n = 4$ and $\lambda'_\gamma = \delta_{\gamma\mathbf{0}}$, $[\gamma] < 4$. According to Theorem 9, mask m_0 can be constructed as follows

$$m_0 : \frac{1}{243}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -5 & 0 & 3 & -4 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 24 & 33 & 0 & -5 \\ 0 & 3 & 36 & \mathbf{81} & 36 & 3 & 0 \\ -5 & 0 & 33 & 24 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -4 & 3 & 0 & -5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with support in $[-3,3]^2 \bigcap \mathbb{Z}^2$. The corresponding refinable function φ is in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\nu_2(\varphi) \geq 2.3477$. This value and the subsequent ones are calculated by [13, Theorem 7.1]. As for the dual mask we simply take $\widetilde{m}_0 \equiv 1$. Condition (14) is obviously valid and $\widetilde{\varphi}(x)$ is the Dirac delta function. Due to Theorem 19 we obtain wavelet masks

$$m_1 = \sqrt{3}(e^{2\pi i(s_1,\xi)} + e^{2\pi i(s_2,\xi)}), \quad m_2 = \sqrt{3}(e^{2\pi i(s_1,\xi)} - e^{2\pi i(s_2,\xi)});$$

$$\widetilde{m}_1 : \frac{1}{162\sqrt{3}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -30 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -36 & 81 & 0 & -6 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{-48} & 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & -6 & 0 & 81 & -36 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -30 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\widetilde{m}_2 : \frac{1}{162\sqrt{3}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -36 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -36 & 81 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -81 & 36 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 36 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & 0 & 0 & -5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with supports in $[-3,3]^2 \bigcap \mathbb{Z}^2$. Wavelet masks $\widetilde{m}_1, \widetilde{m}_2$ have vanishing moments of order 4. Thus, we are in the conditions of Theorem 5. The corresponding symmetric/antisymmetric almost frame-like wavelet system provide approximation order 4 according to (17).

2. Let $c = (1,1)$, $M = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. The set of digits is

$$D(M) = \{s_0 = (0,0), s_1 = (0,1), s_2 = (1,0), s_3 = (1,1)\},$$

$m = 4$. Let us construct mask m_0 that is axial symmetric with respect to the center c and satisfies condition (26) with $n = 2$. According to the Theorem 27 mask m_0 can be constructed as follows

$$m_0 : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/16 & 1/16 & 0 \\ 1/16 & 1/8 & 1/8 & 1/16 \\ 1/16 & \mathbf{1/8} & 1/8 & 1/16 \\ 0 & 1/16 & 1/16 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with support in $[-1,2]^2 \bigcap \mathbb{Z}^2$. The corresponding refinable function φ is in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\nu_2(\varphi) \geq 2$. As for the dual mask we take

$$\widetilde{m}_0 : \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & 1/4 \\ \mathbf{1/4} & 1/4 \end{pmatrix}$$

with support in $[0,1]^2 \bigcap \mathbb{Z}^2$. Condition (14) is valid. The corresponding dual refinable function $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\nu_2(\varphi) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Due to Theorem 28 we obtain wavelet masks

$$m_1 : \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \mathbf{1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad m_2 : \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ \mathbf{1} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad m_3 : \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ \mathbf{1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad m_4 : \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ \mathbf{1} & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with supports in $[0,1]^2 \bigcap \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Dual wavelet masks are

$$\widetilde{m}_1 : \frac{1}{8}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1/8 & -1/8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/8 & -1/8 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/8 & -1/8 & 1/2 & 1/2 & -1/8 & -1/8 \\ -1/8 & -1/8 & \mathbf{1/2} & 1/2 & -1/8 & -1/8 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/8 & -1/8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/8 & -1/8 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \widetilde{m}_2 : \frac{1}{8}\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ \mathbf{1} & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with supports in $[-2,3]^2 \bigcap \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $[0,1]^2 \bigcap \mathbb{Z}^2$ accordingly and

$$\widetilde{m}_3 : \frac{1}{8}\begin{pmatrix} 1/8 & 1/8 \\ 1/8 & 1/8 \\ -1 & -1 \\ \mathbf{1} & 1 \\ -1/8 & -1/8 \\ -1/8 & -1/8 \end{pmatrix} \quad \widetilde{m}_4 : \frac{1}{8}\begin{pmatrix} -1/8 & -1/8 & 1 & -1 & 1/8 & 1/8 \\ -1/8 & -1/8 & \mathbf{1} & -1 & 1/8 & 1/8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

with supports in $[0,1] \times [-2,3] \bigcap \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $[-2,3] \times [0,1] \bigcap \mathbb{Z}^2$ accordingly.

The corresponding axial symmetric/antisymmetric frame-like wavelet system provide approximation order 2 according to [24, Theorem 18].

3. Let $c = \mathbf{0}$, $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. The set of digits is $D(M) = \{s_0 = (0,0), s_1 = (1,0)\}$, $m = 2$. Let us construct mask m_0 that is 4-fold symmetric with respect to the origin and satisfies condition (26) with $n = 2$. According to Theorem 31 mask m_0 can be constructed as follows

$$m_0 : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/16 & 0 & -1/16 & 0 \\ -1/16 & 0 & 1/4 & 0 & -1/16 \\ 0 & 1/4 & \mathbf{1/2} & 1/4 & 0 \\ -1/16 & 0 & 1/4 & 0 & -1/16 \\ 0 & -1/16 & 0 & -1/16 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with support in $[-2,2]^2 \bigcap \mathbb{Z}^2$. The corresponding refinable function φ is in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\nu_2(\varphi) \geq 0.783$. As for the dual mask we take $\widetilde{m}_0 = 1$. Condition (14) is obviously valid and $\widetilde{\varphi}(x) = \delta(x)$.

Due to Theorem 30 we obtain wavelet masks

$$m_1 = e^{2\pi i \xi_1}, \quad \widetilde{m}_1 : \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/8 & 0 & 1/8 & 0 \\ 1/8 & 0 & -1/2 & 0 & 1/8 \\ 0 & \mathbf{-1/2} & 1 & -1/2 & 0 \\ 1/8 & 0 & -1/2 & 0 & 1/8 \\ 0 & 1/8 & 0 & 1/8 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with support in $[-1,3] \times [-2,2] \bigcap \mathbb{Z}^2$. Wavelet mask $\widetilde{m}_1$ has vanishing moments of order 2.

Thus, we are in the conditions of Theorem 5. The corresponding 4-fold symmetric frame-like wavelet system provide approximation order 2 according to (17).

References

[1] E. Belogay, Y. Wang, Compactly Supported Orthogonal Symmetric Scaling Functions, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., Vol. 7 (1999), 137-150.

[2] O. Christensen, Frames and bases: An introductory course, Birkhauser, 2008.

[3] C. Chui, W. He, Compactly supported tight frames associated with refinable function, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., Vol. 8 (2000), 293-319.

[4] C. Chui, J. A. Lian, Construction of compactly supported symmetric and antisymmetric orthonormal wavelets with scale = 3, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., Vol. 2 (1995), 68-84.

[5] I. Daubechies, Orthonormal bases of compactly supported wavelets, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 41 (1988), 909-996.

[6] I. Daubechies, B. Han, Pairs of dual wavelet frames from any two refinable functions, Constr. Approx. 20, No. 3, (2004), 325-352.

[7] N. Dyn, M. Skopina, Decompositions of trigonometric polynomials related to multivariate subdivision schemes, St. Petersburg Mathematical Society Preprint ♯2006-07.

[8] M. Gasca, T. Sauer, Polynomial interpolation in several variables, Adv. Comput. Math., Vol. 12 (2000), 377–410.

[9] S. S. Goh, Z. Y. Lim, Z. Shen, Symmetric and antisymmetric tight wavelet frames, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., Vol. 20 (2006), 411-421.

[10] B. Han, Symmetric orthonormal scaling functions and wavelets with dilation factor 4, Adv. in Comp. Math., Vol. 8, No. 3, (1998), 221-247.

[11] B. Han, Symmetry property and construction of wavelets with a general dilation matrix, Linear Algebra Appl., Vol. 353, (2002), 207-225.

[12] B. Han, Compactly supportes tight wavelet frames and orthonormal wavelets of exponential decay with a general dilation matrix, J. Comput. Appl. Math., Vol. 155 (2003), 43-67.

[13] B. Han, Vector cascade algorithms and refinable function vectors in Sobolev spaces, J. Appr. Theory, Vol. 124, (2003), 44-88.

[14] B. Han, Symmetric multivariate orthogonal refinable functions, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., Vol. 17 (2004), 277-292.

[15] B. Han, Matrix extension with symmetry and applications to symmetric orthonormal complex M-wavelets, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 15 (2009), 684-705.

[16] B. Han, Symmetric orthonormal complex wavelets with masks of arbitrarily high linear-phase moments and sum rules, Adv. Comput. Math., Vol. 32 (2010), 209-237.

[17] B. Han, Pairs of frequency-based nonhomogeneous dual wavelet frames in the distribution space, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 29, No. 3, (2010), 330-353.

[18] B. Han, Q. Mo, Symmetric MRA tight wavelet frames with three generators and high vanishing moments, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., Vol. 18 (2005), 67-93.

[19] B. Han, X. S. Zhuang, Matrix extension with symmetry and its application to symmetric orthonormal multiwavelets, SIAM J. Math. Anal., Vol. 42 (2010), 2297-2317.

[20] B. Han, Symmetric orthogonal filters and wavelets with linear-phase moments, J. Comput. Appl. Math., to appear.

[21] Q. T. Jiang, Bi-frames with 4-fold axial symmetry for quadrilateral surface multiresolution processing, J. Comput. Appl. Math., Vol. 234 (2010), 3303-3325.

[22] Q. T. Jiang, Biorthogonal wavelets with 6-fold axial symmetry for hexagonal data and triangle surface multiresolution processing, preprint, Jan. 2009.

[23] S. Karakazyan, M. Skopina, M. Tchobanou, Symmetric multivariate wavelets, Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolut. Inf. Process. 7, No. 3, (2009), 313-340.

[24] A. Krivoshein and M. Skopina, Approximation by frame-like wavelet systems, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 31 (2011), 410-428.

[25] I. Ya. Novikov, V. Yu. Protasov and M. A. Skopina, Wavelet Theory, Amer. Math. Soc, 2011.

[26] A. Petukhov, Construction of symmetric orthogonal bases of wavelets and tight wavelet frames with integer dilation factor. Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 17 (2004), 198-210.

[27] A. Petukhov, Symmetric framelets, Constr. Approx., 19 (2003), 309-328.

[28] A. Ron, Z. Shen, Affine systems in $L_2(R^d)$: the analysis of the analysis operator, J. Func. Anal., 148 (1997), 408-447.

[29] A. Ron, Z. Shen, Affine systems in $L_2(R^d)$ II: dual systems. J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 3 (1997), 617-637

[30] M. Skopina, On construction of multivariate wavelets with vanishing moments, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., Vol. 20, No 3, (2006), 375-390.

[31] M. Skopina, On construction of multivariate wavelet frames, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., Vol. 27, (2009) 55-72.

[32] V. S. Vladimirov, Generalized functions in mathematical physics, MIR, 1979. (Translated from Russian)